arXiv:math/0610069v3 [math.RT] 16 Sep 2008

GALOIS ORDERS

VYACHESLAV FUTORNY AND SERGE OVSIENKO

Abstract. We introduce a new class of noncommutative rings - Galois orders, realized as certain subrings of invariants in skew semigroup rings, and develop their structure theory. The class of Galois orders generalizes classical orders in noncommutative rings and contains many classical objects, such as the Generalized Weyl algebras, the universal enveloping algebra of the general linear Lie algebra, associated Yangians and finite W-algebras and certain rings of invariant differential operators on algebraic varieties.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Integral extensions 3
2.2. Skew (semi)group rings 4
2.3. Separation actions 5
3. Bimodules 6
3.1. Balanced bimodules 6
3.2. Monoidal category of balanced bimodules 6
3.3. Simple balanced bimodules 7
3.4. Grotendieck ring of category balanced bimodules and Hecke algebra 9
4. Galois rings 11
4.1. Notation and some examples 11
4.2. Characterization of a Galois ring 12
5. Galois orders 14
5.1. Characterization of Galois orders 14
5.2. Harish-Chandra subalgebras 15
5.3. Properties of Galois orders 16
5.4. Filtered Galois orders 19
6. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of Galois orders 19
6.1. Growth of group algebras 19
6.2. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension 20
7. Examples of Galois rings and orders 21
7.1. Generalized Weyl algebras 21
7.2. Filtered algebras 21
7.3. Rings of invariant differential operators 24
7.4. Galois orders of finite rank 25
8. Acknowledgment 25
References 25

1. Introduction

Let Γ be an integral domain and $U \supset \Gamma$ an associative noncommutative algebra over a base field $\Bbbk$. A motivation for the study of pairs "algebra-subalgebra" comes from the representation theory of Lie algebras. In particular, in the theory of Harish-Chandra modules U is the universal

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16D60, 16D90, 16D70, 17B65.

enveloping algebra of a reductive finite dimensional Lie algebra L and Γ is the universal enveloping algebra of some reductive Lie subalgebra $L' \subset L$. For instance, the case when Γ is the universal enveloping algebra of a Cartan subalgebra leads to the theory of Harish-Chandra modules with respect to this Cartan algebra - weight modules. Another important example is a pair (U, Γ), where U is the universal enveloping algebra and Γ is a certain maximal commutative subalgebra of U, called *Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra*. In the case $U = U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ the analogs of Harish-Chandra modules - Gelfand-Tsetlin modules - were studied in [DFO1]. Similarly, Okounkov and Vershik ([OV]) showed that representation theory of the symmetric group S_n is associated with a pair (U, Γ), where U is the group algebra of S_n and Γ is the maximal commutative subalgebra generated by the Jucys-Murphy elements.

An attempt to understand the phenomena related to the Gelfand-Tsetlin formulae ([GTs]) was the paper [DFO2] where the notion of Harish-Chandra subalgebra of an associative algebra and the corresponding notion of a Harish-Chandra module were introduced. In particular, in [DFO2] the categories of Harish-Chandra modules were described as categories of modules over some explicitly constructed categories. This construction is a broad generalization of the presentation of finite dimensional associative algebras by quivers and relations. This techniques was applied to the study of Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$.

Current paper can be viewed on one hand as a development of the ideas of [DFO2] in the "semi-commutative case", i.e. noncommutative algebra and commutative subalgebra and, on the other hand, as an attempt to understand the role of skew group algebras in the representation theory of infinite dimensional algebras (e.g. [Bl], [Ba], [BavO], [Ex]). Recall, that the algebras A_1, $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and their quantum analogues are unified by the notion of a *generalized Weyl algebra*. Their irreducible modules are completely described modulo classification of irreducible elements in a skew polynomial ring in one variable over a skew field. The main property of a generalized Weyl algebra U is the existence of a commutative subalgebra $\Gamma \subset U$ such that the localization of U by $S = \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ is the skew polynomial algebra. On the other hand this technique can not be applied in case of more complicated algebras such as the universal enveloping algebras of simple Lie algebras of rank ≥ 2.

We make an important observation that the Gelfand-Tsetlin formulae for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ define an embedding of the corresponding universal enveloping algebra into a skew group algebra of a free abelian group over some field of rational functions L (see also [Kh]). A remarkable fact is that this field L is a Galois extension of the field of fractions of the corresponding Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra of the universal enveloping algebra. This fact leads to a concept of *Galois orders* defined as certain subrings of invariants in skew semigroup rings.

We propose a notion of a "noncommutative order" as a pair (U, Γ) where U is a ring, $\Gamma \subset U$ a commutative subring such that the set $S = \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ is left and right Ore subset in U and the corresponding ring of fractions $\mathcal{U}$ is a simple algebra (in general, Γ is not central in U). Galois orders introduced in the paper are examples of such noncommutative orders.

Let Γ be a commutative finitely generated domain, K the field of fractions of Γ, $K \subset L$ a finite Galois extension, $G = G(L/K)$ the corresponding Galois group, $\mathcal{M} \subset \operatorname{Aut} L$ a submonoid. Assume that G belongs to the normalizer of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\operatorname{Aut} L$ and for $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ their double G-cosets coincide if and only if $m_1 = g m_2 g^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a group the last condition can be rewritten as $\mathcal{M} \cap G = \{e\}$. If G acts on $\mathcal{M}$ by conjugation then G acts on the skew group algebra $L * \mathcal{M}$ by authomorphisms: $g \cdot (am) = (g \cdot a)(g \cdot m)$. Let $\mathcal{K} = (L * \mathcal{M})^G$ be the subalgebra of G-invariants in $L * \mathcal{M}$.

We will say that an associative ring U is a Γ*-ring*, provided there is a fixed embedding $i : \Gamma \to U$.

Definition 1. *A finitely generated (over* Γ*)* Γ*-subring* $U \subset \mathcal{K}$ *is called a* Galois Γ-ring *(or* Galois ring over Γ*) if* $KU = UK = \mathcal{K}$.

If Γ is fixed then we simply say that U is a *Galois ring*.

In this case $U \cap K$ is a maximal commutative subring in U and the center of U coincides with $\mathcal{M}$-invariants in $U \cap K$ (Theorem 4.1). Moreover, the set $S = \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ is an Ore multiplicative set (both from the left and from the right) and the corresponding localizations $U[S^{-1}]$ and $[S^{-1}]U$ are canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}$ (Proposition 4.2). Note that the algebra $\mathcal{K}$ has a canonical decomposition into a sum of pairwise non-isomorphic finite dimensional left and right K-bimodules (cf. (2.6)). We introduce a class of Galois orders with some integrality conditions - *integral Galois rings* or *Galois orders.* These rings satisfy some local finiteness condition and they are defined as follows.

Definition 2. *A Galois ring U over Γ is called* right (respectively left) integral Galois ring, or Galois order over Γ, *if for any finite dimensional right (respectively left) K-subspace $W \subset U[S^{-1}]$ (respectively $W \subset [S^{-1}]U$), $W \cap U$ is a finitely generated right (respectively left) Γ-module. A Galois ring is* Galois order *if it is both right and left Galois order.*

A concept of a Galois order over Γ is a natural noncommutative generalization of a classical notion of Γ-order in skew group ring $\mathcal{K}$ since we do not require the centrality of Γ in U (cf. [MCR], chapter 5, 3.5). We note the difference of our definition from the notion of order given in [MCR] (chapter 3, 1.2), [HGK] (section 9).

How big is the class of Galois rings and orders? We note that any commutative algebra is Galois over itself. If $\Gamma \subset U \subset K \subset L$ and U is finitely generated over Γ, then U is a Galois Γ-ring. If Γ is noetherian then U is an order if and only if U lies in the integral closure of Γ in K. In Section 3 we study so-called *balanced* Γ-bimodules. This approach, based on the bimodule theory, allows to understand the structure and to construct Galois rings. Another important tool in the study of Galois rings is their Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, cf. Section 6. Using the results of Section 6 we show in Section 7 that the following algebras are integral Galois orders in corresponding skew group rings:

- Generalized Weyl algebras over integral domains with infinite order automorphisms which include many classical algebras, such as n-th Weyl algebra A_n, quantum plane, q-deformed Heisenberg algebra, quantized Weyl algebras, Witten-Woronowicz algebra among the others [Ba], [BavO];
- The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ over its Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra;
- Some rings of invariant differential operators, e.g. symmetric and orthogonal differential operators on n-dimensional torus (cf. Section 7.3);
- It is shown in [FMO],[FMO1] that shifted Yangians and finite W-algebras associated with $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ are Galois orders over corresponding Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebras.

We emphasize that the theory of Galois orders unifies the representation theories of universal enveloping algebras and generalized Weyl algebras. On one hand the Gelfand-Tsetlin formulae give an embedding of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ into a certain localization of the Weyl algebra A_m for $m = n(n+1)/2$ (cf. Remark 7.1 and [Kh]). On the other hand the intrinsic reason for such unification is a similar hidden skew group ring structure of these algebras as Galois orders. We believe that the concept of a Galois order will have a strong impact on the representation theory of infinite-dimensional associative algebras. We will discuss the representation theory of Galois rings in a subsequent paper. Preliminary version of this paper appeared in the preprint form [FO].

2. Preliminaries

All fields in the paper contain the base field $\Bbbk$, which is algebraically closed of characteristic 0. All algebras in the paper are $\Bbbk$-algebras.

2.1. **Integral extensions.** Let A be an integral domain, K its field of fractions and $\tilde{A}$ the integral closure of A in K. Recall that the ring A is called *normal* if $A = \tilde{A}$. Let A be a normal noetherian ring, $K \subset L$ a finite Galois extension, $\bar{A}$ the integral closure of A in L.

Proposition 2.1.
- *If $\tilde{A}$ is noetherian then $\bar{A}$ is finite over $\tilde{A}$.*
- *If A is a finitely generated $\Bbbk$-algebra then $\bar{A}$ is finite over A. In particular, $\tilde{A}$ is finite over A.*

The following statement is probably well known but we include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $i : A \hookrightarrow B$ *be an embedding of integral domains with a regular* A*. Assume the induced morphism of varieties* $i^* : \operatorname{Specm} B \to \operatorname{Specm} A$ *is surjective (e.g.* $A \subset B$ *is an integral extension). If* $b \in B$ *and* $ab \in A$ *for some nonzero* $a \in A$ *then* $b \in A$*.*

Proof. In this case i induces an epimorphism of the $\operatorname{Spec} B$ onto $\operatorname{Spec} A$. Fix $\mathsf{m} \in \operatorname{Specm} A$. Assume $ab = a' \in A$. Since the localization A_{m} is a unique factorization domain, we can assume that $a_{\mathsf{m}} b = a'_{\mathsf{m}}$, where $a_{\mathsf{m}}, a'_{\mathsf{m}} \in A_{\mathsf{m}}$ are coprime. If a_{m} is invertible in A_{m} then $b \in A_{\mathsf{m}}$. If a_{m} is not invertible in A_{m} then there exists $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{\mathsf{m}}$ such that $a_{\mathsf{m}} \in P$ and $a'_{\mathsf{m}} \notin P$. It shows that P does not lift to $\operatorname{Spec} B_{\mathsf{m}}$. Hence $b \in A_{\mathsf{m}}$ for every $\mathsf{m} \in \operatorname{Specm} A$, which implies $b \in A$ ([Mat], Theorem 4.7). □

2.2. **Skew (semi)group rings.** *If a semigroup* $\mathcal{M}$ *acts on a set* S, $\mathcal{M} \times S \xrightarrow{\varphi} S$*, then* $\varphi(m, s)$ *will be denoted either by* $m \cdot s$*, or* ms*, or* s^m*. In particular* $s^{mm'} = (s^{m'})^m$, $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}$, $s \in S$*. By* $S^{\mathcal{M}}$ *we denote the subset of all* $\mathcal{M}$*-invariant elements in* S*.*

Let R be a ring with a unit, $\mathcal{M}$ a semigroup and $f : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ a homomorphism. Then $\mathcal{M}$ acts naturally on R (from the left): $g \cdot r = f(g)(r)$ for $g \in \mathcal{M}, r \in R$. The *skew semigroup ring*[1], $R * \mathcal{M}$, associated with the left action of $\mathcal{M}$ on R, is a free left R-module, $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathcal{M}} Rm$, with a basis $\mathcal{M}$ and with the multiplication defined as follows

$$(r_1 m_1) \cdot (r_2 m_2) = (r_1 r_2^{m_1})(m_1 m_2), \quad m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M},\ r_1, r_2 \in R.$$

Assume that a finite group G acts on R by automorphisms and on $\mathcal{M}$ by conjugation. For every pair $g \in G$, $m \in \mathcal{M}$ fix an element $\alpha_{g,m} \in R$ and define a map

$$G \times (R * \mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow R * \mathcal{M},\ (g, rm) \longmapsto \alpha_{g,m} r^g m^g, r \in R, m \in \mathcal{M}, g \in G. \tag{2.1}$$

This map defines an action of G on $R * \mathcal{M}$ by automorphisms if and only if $\alpha_{g,m}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\alpha_{g, m_1 m_2} = \alpha_{g, m_1} \alpha_{g, m_2}^{g m_1},\ g \in G,\ m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}; \tag{2.2}$$

$$\alpha_{g_1 g_2, m} = \alpha_{g_1, g_2(m)} \alpha_{g_2, m}^{g_1},\ g_1, g_2 \in G, \alpha_{e,m} = 1, m \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{2.3}$$

In this case we say that the action of G on $R * \mathcal{M}$ is *monomial*.

If $\alpha_{g,m} = 1$ for all $g \in G$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}$ then $g(rm) = r^g m^g$ for all $g \in G$, $r \in R$, $m \in \mathcal{M}$. *For simplicity in this paper we will work with a trivial* α *but all the results remain valid for a general monomial action of* G *satisfying the conditions above.*

If $x \in R * \mathcal{M}$ then we write it in the form

$$x = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} x_m m,$$

where only finitely many $x_m \in \mathcal{M}$ are nonzero. We call the finite set

$$\operatorname{supp} x = \{m \in \mathcal{M} | x_m \neq 0\}$$

the *support* of x. Hence $x \in (R * \mathcal{M})^G$ if and only if $x_{m^g} = x_m^g$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}, g \in G$. If $x \in (R * \mathcal{M})^G$ then $\operatorname{supp} x$ is a finite G-invariant subset in $\mathcal{M}$. For $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} R$ set

$$H_\varphi = \{h \in G | \varphi^h = \varphi\}, \mathcal{O}_\varphi = \{\varphi^g \mid g \in G\}. \tag{2.4}$$

If G is a finite group and H is its subgroup then the notation $F = \sum_{g \in G/H} F(g)$ means that g *runs over a set of representatives* of the quotient G/H and $F(g)$ *does not depend on the choice of these representatives.* In particular, F is well defined.

Using this agreement we denote

$$[a\varphi] := \sum_{g \in G/H_\varphi} a^g \varphi^g \in (R * \mathcal{M})^G, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{M},\ a \in R^{H_\varphi}, \tag{2.5}$$

---

[1] In a subsequent publication we will consider a more general case of the *crossed product* of R and $\mathcal{M}$.

and set $(R * \mathcal{M})^G_\varphi = \big\{ [a\varphi] \,|\, a \in R^{H_\varphi} \big\}$. Then we have the following decomposition of $(R*\mathcal{M})^G$ into a direct sum of left (right) R^G-subbimodules

$$(R*\mathcal{M})^G = \bigoplus_{\varphi \in G\backslash \mathcal{M}} (R*\mathcal{M})^G_\varphi, \tag{2.6}$$

where R^G acts on $(R*\mathcal{M})^G_\varphi$ as follows

$$\gamma \cdot [a\varphi] = [(a\gamma)\varphi],\ [a\varphi]\cdot\gamma = [(a\gamma^\varphi)\varphi],\ \gamma \in R^G. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus every $x \in (R*\mathcal{M})^G$ can be uniquely written in the form $\sum\limits_{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}\backslash G} [x_\varphi \varphi], x_\varphi \in R^{H_\varphi}$. We have for $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$[a_1\varphi_1]\gamma[a_2\varphi_2] = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2} \Bigg[\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{g_1 \in G/H_{\varphi_1}, g_2 \in G/H_{\varphi_2}, \\ \varphi_1^{g_1}\varphi_2^{g_2} = \tau}} a_1^{g_1} \gamma^{\varphi_1} a_2^{\varphi_1^{g_1} g_2} \Bigg) \tau \Bigg].$$

For $a, b \in R^{H_\varphi}$ denote

$$[a\varphi b] = \sum_{g \in G/H_\varphi} a^g \varphi^g b^g, \text{ so for } \gamma \in R^G \text{ holds} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\gamma[a\varphi b] = [a\varphi(b\gamma^{\varphi^{-1}})],\ [a\varphi b]\gamma = [(\gamma^\varphi a)\varphi b],$$

since $\varphi(R^G), \varphi^{-1}(R^G) \subset R^{H_\varphi}$. Note that in obvious way $[a\varphi] = [\varphi a^{\varphi^{-1}}]$, $a \in R, \varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} R$.

2.3. **Separation actions.** If $R = L$ is a field, $K \subset L$ be a finite Galois extension of fields, $G = G(L/K)$ the Galois group and $\imath$ the canonical embedding $K \hookrightarrow L$. Then $K = L^G$ and

$$\dim^r_K \mathcal{K}_\varphi = \dim^l_K \mathcal{K}_\varphi = [L^{H_\varphi} : K] = |G : H_\varphi| = |\mathcal{O}_\varphi|, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\dim^r_K$, $\dim^l_K$ are right and left K-dimensions.

Definition 3. (1) *A monoid* $\mathcal{M} \subset \operatorname{Aut} L$ *is called* separating *(with respect to* K*) if for any* $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ *the equality* $m_1|_K = m_2|_K$ *implies* $m_1 = m_2$.

(2) *An automorphism* $\varphi : L \longrightarrow L$ *is called* separating *(with respect to* K*) if the monoid generated by* $\{\varphi^g \,|\, g \in G\}$ *in* $\operatorname{Aut} L$ *is separating.*

Lemma 2.1. *Let monoid* $\mathcal{M}$ *be separating with respect to* K. *Then*

1. $\mathcal{M} \cap G = \{e\}$.
2. *For any* $m \in \mathcal{M}, m \neq e$ *there exists* $\gamma \in K$ *such that* $\gamma^m \neq \gamma$.
3. *If* $Gm_1G = Gm_2G$ *for some* $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$, *then there exists* $g \in G$ *such that* $m_1 = m_2^g$.
4. *If* $\mathcal{M}$ *is a group, then the statements* (1), (2), (3) *are equivalent and each of them imply that* $\mathcal{M}$ *is separating.*

Proof. We prove the statement (3), other statements are trivial. $Gm_1G = Gm_2G$ if and only if for some $g, g' \in G$ holds $m_1^g = m_2 g'$. Then m_1^g and m_2 acts in the same way on K, hence $m_1^g = m_2$. □

Let $\jmath : K \hookrightarrow L$ be an embedding. Denote $\operatorname{St}(\jmath) = \{g \in G | g\jmath = \jmath\}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$, $\jmath = \varphi\imath$. *Then*

1. *If* φ *is separating, then* $H_\varphi = \operatorname{St}(\jmath)$.
2. $K\varphi(K) = L^{\operatorname{St}(\jmath)}$, *in particular, if* φ *is separating* $K\varphi(K) = L^{H_\varphi}$.

Proof. Obviously $H_\varphi \subset \operatorname{St}(\jmath)$. Conversely, if $g\varphi\imath = \varphi\imath$, then $\varphi^{-1}g\varphi\imath = \imath$, hence $\varphi^{-1}g\varphi = g_1 \in G$ and $\varphi^{-1}(g\varphi g^{-1}) = g_1 g^{-1}$. Thus φ and $g\varphi g^{-1}$ coincide on K, implying $g\varphi g^{-1} = \varphi$ and (1). Note that $g \in G(L/K\varphi(K)) \cap G$ if and only if $g|_{\varphi(K)} = \mathrm{id}$ (i.e. $g \in \operatorname{St}(\jmath)$), implying (2). □

3. Bimodules

3.1. **Balanced bimodules.**

For commutative $\Bbbk$-algebras A and B we will denote by $(A-B)-\mathrm{bimod}$ the category of finitely generated $A-B$-bimodules. If $A=B$ we will simply write $A-\mathrm{bimod}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $K\subset L$ be a finite field extension. The full subcategories of $K-\mathrm{bimod}$, $(K-L)-\mathrm{bimod}$ or $(L-K)-\mathrm{bimod}$ consisting of objects, which are finite dimensional as left or as right modules are Jordan-Hoelder and Krull-Schmidt categories.*

Proof. It follows from the finiteness of the length of the objects of these categories. □

In this section all bimodules over fields are assumed to be finite dimensional from both sides and $\Bbbk$-central (unless the contrary is stated).

Definition 4. *A homomorphism of algebras $\varphi: A\rightarrow B$ endows B with the structure of $B-A$-bimodule B_φ such that for $a\in A, b\in B$, $b'\in B_\varphi$ holds $b\cdot b'\cdot a=bb'\varphi(a)$.*

Remark 3.1.
(1) *In opposite, an $B-A$ bimodule V, which is free of rank 1 from the left, defines a homomorphism $\varphi=\varphi_V: A\rightarrow B$ by $va=\varphi(a)v$, where $v\in V$ is a right free generator of V.*
(2) *If $\varphi: A\longrightarrow B$ and $\psi: B\longrightarrow C$ are homomorphisms of algebras then there exists an isomorphism of $C-A$-bimodules*

$$C_\psi\otimes_B B_\varphi\simeq C_{\psi\varphi},\ c\otimes b\longmapsto c\psi(b), c\in C, b\in B.$$

Let $K\subset L$ be an extension and $\imath_K$ the canonical embedding $K\subset L$. We will write $\imath$ instead of $\imath_K$ when the field K is fixed. If $V={}_KV_K$ is a K-bimodule then denote ${}_KV_L=V\otimes_K L$, ${}_LV_K=L\otimes_K V$ and ${}_LV_L=L\otimes_K {}_KV_L$.

Let $K\subset L$ is a Galois extension with the Galois group $G=G(L/K)$, then the group $G\times G$ acts on ${}_LV_L$ as

$$(g_1,g_2)\cdot(l_1\otimes v\otimes l_2)\longmapsto l_1^{g_1}\otimes v\otimes l_2^{g_2^{-1}}, (g_1,g_2)\in G\times G, v\in V, l_1,l_2\in L$$

by automorphism of K-bimodules. The K-bimodule of invariants is canonically isomorphic to V. If we restrict the action of $G\times G$ to the action of G from the left (from the right), by automorphisms of $K-L$ $(L-K)$ bimodules, then the invariants will be ${}_KV_L$ $({}_LV_K)$.

Analogously, G acts naturally from the left on the $L-K$-bimodule ${}_LV_K$ by automorphisms of K-bimodule,

$$g\cdot(l\otimes v)\longmapsto l^g\otimes v, g\in G, v\in V, l\in L \text{ and } ({}_LV_K)^G\simeq {}_KV_K.$$

Assume that the right action of K on V is L-diagonalizable from the left. It means ${}_LV_K$ splits into a sum of $L-K$-bimodules, which are one dimensional as right L-modules. By Remark 3.1, (1) such one dimensional $L-K$-bimodule is of the form $L_\jmath$ for some field embedding $\jmath: K\rightarrow L$.

Definition 5. *A K-bimodule ${}_KV_K$ is called L -* balanced *over a finite Galois extension $K\subset L$ if ${}_LV_L$ is a direct sum of one-dimensional from the left and from the right L-bimodules, i.e. bimodules of the form L_φ for $\varphi\in\operatorname{Aut}L$. A K-bimodule ${}_KV_K$ is called* balanced *if it is L-*balanced *over some finite Galois extension $K\subset L$.*

3.2. **Monoidal category of balanced bimodules.**

Denote by $K-\mathrm{bimod}_L$ the full subcategory in $K-\mathrm{bimod}$ consisting of all L-balanced K-bimodules.

Remark 3.2. *The category $L-\mathrm{bimod}_L$ is by definition semisimple and its isoclasses of simples are represented by the bimodules L_φ, were $\varphi: L\longrightarrow L$ is an automorphism.*

Theorem 3.1. *The category $K-\mathrm{bimod}_L$ is an abelian semisimple monoidal category.*

Proof. Note that by Remarks 3.1, (2) and by Remark 3.2 above the category $L-\mathrm{bimod}_L$ satisfies the theorem.

Let V,W be L-balanced K-bimodules, $\pi: V\longrightarrow W$ an K-bimodule epimorphism, $\pi_L: {}_LV_L\longrightarrow {}_LW_L$ the induced epimorphism of L-bimodules. Since G acts trivially on K the map π_L is a homomorphism of $(K\otimes_\Bbbk K)[G\times G]$-bimodules.

On the other hand p_L admits the right inverse $L-L$-bimodule monomorphism

$$s_L : {}_LW_L \longrightarrow {}_LV_L,\ p_Ls_L = \mathrm{id}_{{}_LW_L}\,.$$

Since G acts trivially on K for every $g=(g_1,g_2)\in G\times G$ the morphism

$$gs_Lg^{-1} : {}_LW_L \longrightarrow {}_LV_L,\quad l_1\otimes w\otimes l_2 \ \longmapsto g_1\cdot s_L(l_1^{g_1^{-1}}\otimes w\otimes l_2^{g_2})\cdot g_2^{-1}$$

are K-bimodule homomorphisms. Then the K-bimodule homomorphism

$$\sigma_L = \frac{1}{|G|^2}\sum_{g\in G\times G} gs_Lg^{-1}$$

commutes with the action $G\times G$, hence both σ_L and π_L are $(K\otimes_{\Bbbk}K)[G\times G]$-bimodule homomorphisms. We have

$$p_L\sigma_L = \frac{1}{|G|^2}\sum_{g\in G\times G} p_Lgs_Lg^{-1} = \frac{1}{|G|^2}\sum_{g\in G\times G} gp_Ls_Lg^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_{{}_LW_L}\,.$$

Since σ_L maps ${}_LW_L^{G\times G}$ to ${}_LV_L{}^{G\times G}$, it induces a K-bimodule homomorphism $\sigma : W\longrightarrow V$, which splits p. Hence $K-\mathrm{bimod}_L$ is semisimple.

Consider the standard K-bimodule monomorphism

$$i : V\otimes_K W \longrightarrow V\otimes_K L\otimes_K W,\quad v\otimes w\longmapsto v\otimes 1\otimes w.$$

Then the induced L-bimodule homomorphism

$${}_L(V\otimes_K W)_L \longrightarrow L\otimes_K V\otimes_K L\otimes_K W\otimes_K L \simeq {}_LV_L\otimes_L {}_LW_L,$$

is a monomorphism. Since ${}_LV_L$ and ${}_LW_L$ are isomorphic to the sums of simple one-dimensional L-bimodules, the same is true for their tensor product over L and for its subbimodule ${}_L(V\otimes_K W)_L$.

Note also that K is a weak unit with respect to $\otimes_K$ in $K-\mathrm{bimod}_L$. □

3.3. **Simple balanced bimodules.** In this section we describe simple objects in $K-\mathrm{bimod}_L$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $K\subset L$ be a Galois extension, $G=G(L/K)$.*

1. *([DK], Ch. 5.1) If for a field F holds $K\subset F\subset L$, $H=G(L/F)$ and $i_F : F\hookrightarrow L$ is the canonical embedding, then as $L-F$-bimodule*

$$L\otimes_K F\simeq \bigoplus_{g\in G/H} L_{g\,i_F},\ \textit{in particular}\ L\otimes_K L\simeq\bigoplus_{g\in G} L_g.$$

2. *A K-bimodule V is L-balanced if and only if the $L-K$-bimodule ${}_LV_K$ is a direct sum of modules of the form $L_{\varphi\imath}$, $\varphi\in\mathrm{Aut}\,L$.*
3. *The right and the left K-dimensions of a balanced bimodule coincide.*

Proof. To prove the statement (1) we present F as a simple extension $F=K[\alpha]$, $\alpha\in F$. Let $f(X)$ be a minimal polynomial of α over K, $\alpha=\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k\in L$ all roots of $f(X)$. Then $F\simeq K[X]/(f(X))$ and

$$L\otimes_K F\simeq L\otimes_K K[X]/(f(X))\simeq L[X]/(f(X))\simeq\prod_{i=1}^{k} L[X]/(X-\alpha_i).$$

The right F-module structure on $L[X]/(X-\alpha_i)$ is defined by multiplication on X, that proves (1).

In (2) we prove firstly the statement "if". Applying Remark 3.1, (2) we obtain the following isomorphisms of $L-K$-bimodules, which proves the statement.

$$L\otimes_K L_{\varphi\imath}\simeq L\otimes_K(L\otimes_L L_{\varphi\imath})\simeq(L\otimes_K L)\otimes_L L_{\varphi\imath}\simeq$$

$$(\bigoplus_{g\in G} L_g)\otimes_L L_{\varphi\imath}\simeq\bigoplus_{g\in G} L_{g\varphi\imath}.$$

Prove the statement “only if”. If ${}_LV_L \simeq \bigoplus\limits_{\varphi\in S} L_\varphi^{d_\varphi}$, $S \subset \operatorname{Aut} L$, $d_\varphi > 0$ as $L-L$-bimodule, then as $L-K$-bimodule it is isomorphic to $\bigoplus\limits_{\varphi\in S} L_{\varphi\imath}^{d_\varphi}$. In particular, ${}_LV_L$ is a semisimple $L-K$-bimodule. Note, that ${}_LV_K$ can be identified with $({}_LV_L)^{\{e\}\times G}$ which is a $L-K$-submodule in ${}_LV_L$. Hence ${}_LV_K$ as a subbimodule of the semisimple $L-K$-bimodule ${}_LV_L$ is a direct sum of some $L_{\varphi\imath}$, $\varphi\in S$.

The statement (3) follows from the definition. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} L$, $\jmath = \varphi\imath$, $H = \operatorname{St}(\jmath)$.*

1. *The canonical action of H on L is an action by $L^H - K$-bimodule automorphisms on L-bimodule L_φ and on $L-K$-bimodule $L_\jmath$.*
2. *Let $j : K \to L^H$ induced by $\jmath$ embedding, $V(\varphi) = L_\varphi^H$. Then $V(\varphi)$ as $L^H - K$ subbimodule in L_φ is isomorphic to L. In particular, $V(\varphi)$ is a simple $L^H - K$-bimodule.*

Proof. Let $l \in L_\varphi$, or $l \in L_\jmath$, $l_1 \in L^H$, $k \in K$ and $''\cdot''$ is the bimodule action on L_φ. Then for $h \in H$ holds

$$(l_1\cdot l\cdot k)^h = (l_1 l\varphi(k))^h = l_1^h l^h h\varphi(k) = l_1 l^h\varphi(k) = l_1\cdot l^h\cdot k,$$

which proves the statement (1). Further, $V(\varphi)$ is $L^H - K$-bimodule by (1). Other statements of (2) are obvious. □

$V(\varphi)$ has a structure of K-bimodule since $K \subset L^H$. It turned out, that $V(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ cover all simples in $K - \operatorname{bimod}_L$.

Theorem 3.2. (1) *$L\otimes_K V(\varphi) \simeq \bigoplus\limits_{g\in G/H} L_{g\varphi\imath}$ as a $L-K$-bimodule, i.e. $V(\varphi)$ is L-balanced.*

2. *$V(\varphi)$ is a simple K-bimodule.*
3. *Any simple object in $K - \operatorname{bimod}_L$ is isomorphic to $V(\varphi)$ for some $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} L$.*
4. *Let $\varphi, \varphi' \in \operatorname{Aut} L$. Then $V(\varphi) \simeq V(\varphi')$ if and only if $G\varphi|_K = G\varphi'|_K$, equivalently $G\varphi G = G\varphi' G$.*
5. *Assume $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ for a separating monoid $\mathcal{M} \subset \operatorname{Aut} L$, $a \in L^H$, $v = [a\varphi] \in \mathcal{K}$, (2.5). Then $KvK \simeq V(\varphi)$ as K-bimodule.*

Proof. Consider $V(\varphi)$ as $L^H - K$ bimodule. Using Lemma 3.1, (1) and Remark 3.1, (2) we obtain the following isomorphisms of $L-K$-bimodules, which proves (1)

$$L\otimes_K V(\varphi) = L\otimes_K L_\jmath^H \simeq L\otimes_K (L^H\otimes_{L^H} L_\jmath^H) \simeq (L\otimes_K L^H)\otimes_{L^H} L_\jmath^H \simeq$$

$$(\bigoplus_{g\in G/H} L_g)\otimes_{L^H} L_\jmath^H \simeq \bigoplus_{g\in G/H} (L_g\otimes_{L^H} L_\jmath^H) \simeq \bigoplus_{g\in G/H} L_{g\jmath}.$$

To prove the simplicity of $V(\varphi)$ consider any nonzero $x \in L^H$. Then $K\cdot x\cdot K = x\varphi(K)K = L^H$, by Lemma 2.2,(2), implying (2).

Now we prove (3). Let V be a simple L-balanced K-bimodule. We divide the proof in the the following steps. If A is a $\Bbbk$-algebra, then in the proofs below instead of s structure of $A-K$-bimodule we will use the corresponding structure of left $A\otimes_\Bbbk$-module.

Step 1. *The equality $(l'g\otimes k)\cdot(l\otimes v) = l'l^g\otimes kv$, $k\in K$, $g\in G$, $l, l'\in L$, $v\in V$ endows ${}_LV_K$ with the structure of a simple left $(L*G)\otimes_\Bbbk K$-module.*

The correctness of $(L*G)\otimes_\Bbbk K$-module structure is checked immediately. To prove the simplicity consider $0 \neq x \in {}_LV_K$, $x = \sum\limits_{g\in G} l_g\otimes v_g$, where $v_g \in V, g\in G$ and $\{l_g \mid l\in L, g\in G\}$ is a normal K-basis of L. Consider $g'\in G$ such that $v_{g'} \neq 0$. By the theorem of independence of characters the maps $w_g : G\to L$, $w_g(g_1) = l_{g_1 g}$, $g\in G$ form a basis in the L-vector space of maps $G\to L$. Hence there exist $\sum\limits_{g\in G}\lambda_g g \in L*G$, $\lambda_g\in L$, such that

$$(\sum_{g\in G}\lambda_g g)\cdot x = \sum_{g\in G}\left(\sum_{g_1\in G}\lambda_{g_1} l_{g_1 g}\right)\otimes v_g = 1\otimes v_{g'},$$

which obviously generates V as K-bimodule and ${}_LV_K$ as $L-K$-bimodule.

Step 2. ${}_LV_K \simeq \underset{g\in G/H}{\oplus} L^d_{g\jmath}$ *for some* $d \geq 1$, *where* $\jmath = \varphi\imath$ *for some* $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} L$. *Besides every* $L_{g\jmath}$ *is a simple* $(L * H) \otimes_{\Bbbk} K$*-submodule in* ${}_LV_K$, *where* $H = \operatorname{St}(g\jmath)$.

By definition ${}_LV_K \simeq \bigoplus_{\jmath\in S} L^{d_\jmath}_\jmath$ as a $L-K$-module for some pairwise non-isomorphic $L_\jmath$. Since $g(L_\jmath) \simeq L_{g\jmath}$ and ${}_LV_K$ is simple as $(L * G) \otimes_{\Bbbk} K$-module, we have ${}_LV_K \simeq \underset{g\in G/H}{\oplus} L^d_{g\jmath}$ as a $L-K$ bimodule. The $L-K$-subbimodule $L_{g\jmath}$ of ${}_LV_K$ is H-invariant, hence it is a $(L*H)\otimes_K K$-module, $H = H_{g\jmath}$. Besides, $L_{g\jmath}$ is irreducible as $L-K$-bimodule.

Step 3. $d = 1$.

Note that $(L * G) \otimes_{\Bbbk} K$ is a free right $(L * H) \otimes_{\Bbbk} K$-module of rank $[G : H]$. The canonical embedding of $(L*H)\otimes_{\Bbbk} K$-modules $L_\jmath \hookrightarrow {}_LV_K$ induces a homomorphism of $(L*G)\otimes_{\Bbbk} K$-modules

$$\Phi : (L * G) \otimes_{L*H} L_\jmath \longrightarrow {}_LV_K,$$

which is an epimorphism, since $\Phi \neq 0$ and ${}_LV_K$ is simple. On the other hand for the left K-dimensions $\dim^l_K$ holds

$$\dim^l_K(L * G \otimes_{L*H} L_\jmath) = [L : K][G : H], \quad \dim^l_K {}_LV_K = d[L : K][G : H].$$

Hence, $d = 1$ and Φ is an isomorphism.

Step 4. *The mapping*

$$\psi : K[G] \times L_j \longrightarrow (L * G) \otimes_{L*H} L_\jmath, \quad (kg, l) \longmapsto kg \otimes l,\ k \in K, g \in G, l \in L_j$$

induces an isomorphism of left $K[G] \otimes_{\Bbbk} K$*-modules*

$$\Psi : K[G] \otimes_{K[H]} L_\jmath \longrightarrow (L * G) \otimes_{L*H} L_\jmath.$$

Indeed, ψ is $K[H]$-bilinear and commutes with the action of $K[G]$ from the left and with the action of K from the right. Again a comparison of K-dimensions implies the statement.

Step 5. $V \simeq V(\varphi)$.

Steps (3) and (4) shows, that the composition

$$\Psi \circ \Phi : K[G] \otimes_{K[H]} L_\jmath \longrightarrow {}_LV_K$$

is an isomorphism of $K[G] \otimes_{\Bbbk} K$-modules. By the Frobenius reciprocity for left $K[H]$-module $L_\jmath$ we obtain the chain of K-bimodule isomorphisms

$$V \simeq ({}_LV_K)^G \simeq (K[G] \otimes_{K[H]} L_\jmath)^G \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{K[G]}(K, K[G] \otimes_{K[H]} L_\jmath) \simeq$$
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K[G]}(K, \operatorname{Hom}_{K[H]}(K[G], L_\jmath)) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{K[H]}(K[G] \otimes_{K[G]} K, L_\jmath) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{K[H]}(K, L_\jmath) \simeq L^H_\jmath.$$

It leaves to prove (5). Assume $V(\varphi) \simeq V(\varphi')$. Then $L \otimes_K V(\varphi) \simeq L \otimes_K V(\varphi')$. Hence from (1), $\varphi'\imath = g\varphi\imath$ for some $g \in G$ and thus $G\varphi\imath = G\varphi'\imath$ and $G\varphi|_K = G\varphi'|_K$ The converse statement easily follows.

Using (2.8) and Lemma 2.2, (2) we obtain $K[a\varphi]K = [K\varphi(K)a\varphi] = [L^H a\varphi]$ which immediately implies the isomorphism $[L^H a\varphi] \simeq V(\varphi)$ and hence the last statement. □

3.4. **Grotendieck ring of category balanced bimodules and Hecke algebra.** Let $K_0(K, L)$ be the Grothendieck ring of the category $K - \mathrm{bimod}_L$ and for $V \in K - \mathrm{bimod}_L$ $[V]$ the class of V in $K_0(K, L)$. Theorem 3.2 shows that simple L-balanced K-bimodules can be enumerated by the double cosets $G\varphi G$ or by the G-orbits $G\varphi\imath$. We show that the ring structure on $K_0(K, L)$ is closely related with some Hecke algebra (Corollary 3.3).

To calculate in $K_0(K, L)$ we need some preliminaries. A *family of elements* S of a set T is the mapping $S : \mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow T$, where $\mathfrak{I}$ in the set of indices. If the group G acts on $\mathfrak{I}$ and T, then we say S is G-invariant provided that S is a map of G-sets. To simplify the notation we will write i instead of $S(i), i \in \mathfrak{I}$. By S/G we denote the induced map of factorsets $S/G : \mathfrak{I}/G \longrightarrow T/G$. In particular, S/G is a family of elements of T/G, indexed by $\mathfrak{I}/G$.

Denote $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk-fiels}(K,L)$ the set of all field $\Bbbk$-embeddings $K\to L$, and

$$\mathcal{B}(K,L)=\{S \mid S\subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk-fiels}(K,L),\ |S|<\infty, GS=S\}.$$

Then by Lemma 3.1, (2) we can correspond to a finitely generated balanced K-bimodule V a G-invariant family $S_V:\mathcal{I}_V\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(K,L)$, such that ${}_LV_K\simeq \bigoplus\limits_{\tau\in\mathcal{I}_V} L_{S_V(\tau)}$. In obvious way factorization by G induces the family $s_V=S_V/G:\mathcal{I}_V/G\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}(K,L)$. Obviously, the image of s_V defines the K-bimodule V uniquely up to isomorphism and we can write ${}_LV_K\simeq\bigoplus\limits_{\tau\in\mathcal{I}_V/G} L_{s_V(\tau)}$.

In particular, by Theorem 3.2 (1), we can choose $\mathcal{I}_{V(\varphi)}$ the set G/H, $S_V(gH)=g\varphi$. Then $\mathcal{I}_{V(\varphi)}/G$ is one-element and and the image of s_V is the subset $\{g\varphi \mid g\in G/H,\ H=\mathrm{St}(\varphi\imath)\}$. A double coset $C=G\varphi G\in G\backslash \operatorname{Aut} L/G$ defines an

$$b_C=b_\varphi=\sum_{\psi\in C}\psi=\sum_{g\in G/H_\varphi}\sum_{\tau\in g\varphi G}\tau\in\mathbb{Q}[\operatorname{Aut} L].$$

If $x=\sum\limits_{\varphi\in G\backslash\operatorname{Aut} L/G} n_\varphi b_\varphi\in\mathbb{Q}[\operatorname{Aut} L]$, $n_\varphi\in\mathbb{N}$, then denote $V(x)=\bigoplus\limits_{\varphi\in G\backslash\operatorname{Aut} L/G} V(\varphi)^{n_\varphi}$. In particular, $V(b_\varphi)\simeq V(\varphi)$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let V be an object of $K-\mathrm{bimod}_L$ and in the notation above $V\simeq\bigoplus\limits_{\tau\in\mathcal{I}_V/G} V(s_v(\tau))$.*

1. *For $\varphi\in\operatorname{Aut} L$ the multiplicity n_φ of $V(\varphi)$ in V is given by*
$$n_\varphi=\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{I}_V,\ S_v(\tau)=\varphi\imath}\frac{|\operatorname{St}(\varphi\imath)|}{|G|},$$
2. $[V]=\sum\limits_{\tau\in\mathcal{I}_V}\dfrac{|\operatorname{St}(S_V(\tau))|}{|G|}[V(S_V(\tau))]$.

Proof. The statement (2) follows from (1) The proof follows from Theorem 3.2, (1). □

Recall, if G_1 is a group, $G\subset G_1$ is a finite subgroup and A is a commutative ring, then the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_A(G_1;G)\subset A[G_1]$ is a free module over A with a basis $h_{G\varphi G}$ labeled by double cosets in $G\backslash G_1/G$. For details on Hecke algebras we refer to [Kr]. We will need the following result from [Kr] (Theorem 1.6.6) slightly adapted to our conditions.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\Omega=\operatorname{Aut} L$. Then*

1. $e_G=\dfrac{1}{|G|}\sum\limits_{g\in G} g$ *is an idempotent in the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\Omega]$.*
2. *One has $e_G\varphi e_G=\dfrac{|H_\varphi|}{|G|^2}b_\varphi$ for all $\varphi\in\Omega$ and $e_G\mathbb{Q}[\Omega]e_G$ becomes a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}[\Omega]$ with e_G as its identity element.*
3. *The mapping $\Phi:\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Omega;G)\longrightarrow e_G\mathbb{Q}[\Omega]e_G\subset\mathbb{Q}[\Omega]$, where*
$$\sum_{\varphi\in G\backslash\Omega/G} n_\varphi h_{G\varphi G}\longmapsto\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\varphi\in G\backslash\Omega/G} b_\varphi$$
is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras.

We will identify the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Omega;G)$ with $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)\subset\mathbb{Q}[\Omega]$. Given $\varphi,\psi\in\operatorname{Aut} L$, introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ $(=\sim(\varphi,\psi))$ on G as follows:

$$g\sim g' \text{ if and only if } G\varphi g\psi G=G\varphi g'\psi G.$$

For $\varphi\in\operatorname{Aut} L$ denote by $H_\varphi=\operatorname{St}(\varphi\imath)$, where $\imath:K\hookrightarrow L$ is the canonical embedding.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\varphi,\psi\in\operatorname{Aut} L$. Then*

$$V(\varphi)\otimes_K V(\psi)\simeq\bigoplus_{c_g\in G/\sim} V(\varphi g\psi)^{s^g_{\varphi\psi}|c_g|},$$

where c_g is the equivalence class of g, $|c_g|$ its size and $s^g_{\varphi\psi} = \dfrac{|H_{\varphi g\psi}|}{|H_\varphi||H_\psi|}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{Aut} L$. Then by Theorem 3.2, (1) and Remark 3.1, (2)

$$L \otimes_K V(\varphi) \otimes_K V(\psi) \simeq \bigoplus_{g\in G/H_\varphi} L_{g\,\varphi\,\imath} \otimes_K V(\psi) \simeq$$

$$\bigoplus_{g\in G/H_\varphi} (L_{g\,\varphi} \otimes_L L) \otimes_K V(\psi) \simeq \bigoplus_{g\in G/H_\varphi} L_{g\,\varphi} \otimes_L (L \otimes_K V(\psi)) \simeq$$

$$\bigoplus_{g\in G/H_\varphi} \bigoplus_{g'\in G/H_\psi} L_{g\,\varphi} \otimes_L L_{g'\,\psi\imath} \simeq \bigoplus_{g\in G/H_\varphi} \bigoplus_{g'\in G/H_\psi} L_{g\,\varphi\,g'\,\psi\imath}.$$

Then by Corollary 3.1

$$[V(\varphi) \otimes_K V(\psi)] = \sum_{\substack{g\in G/H_\varphi \\ g'\in G/H_\psi}} \frac{|H_{g\varphi g'\psi}|}{|G|}[V(g\varphi g'\psi)] = \sum_{c_g\in G/\sim} s^g_{\varphi\psi}|c_g|[V(\varphi g\psi)]. \tag{3.10}$$

which completes the proof.

□

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{Aut} L$. Then $\frac{1}{|G|}b_\varphi b_\psi \in \mathbb{Z}[\operatorname{Aut} L]$ and*

$$V(b_\varphi) \otimes_K V(b_\psi) \simeq V(\frac{1}{|G|}b_\varphi \cdot b_\psi).$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$\frac{1}{|G|}b_\varphi b_\psi = \sum_{g_1,g_2,g\in G} g_1\varphi g\psi g_2,$$

which proves the first statement. On the other hand we have the following equalities in $\mathbb{Q}[\operatorname{Aut} L]$:

$$b_\varphi \cdot b_\psi = (\sum_{\substack{g\in G/H_\varphi, \\ g'\in G}} g\varphi g')(\sum_{\substack{g\in G/H_\psi, \\ g'\in G}} g\psi g') = \frac{|G|}{|H_\varphi||H_\psi|}\sum_{g\in G}|H_{\varphi g\psi}|\, b_{\varphi g\psi}.$$

Comparison with (3.10) we complete the proof.

□

Corollary 3.3. *The map*

$$\Psi : \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_0(K, L) \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\operatorname{Aut} L; G),\ \ \Psi([V(\varphi)]) = \frac{1}{|G|}b_\varphi$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras.

Proof. Since the classes $[V(\varphi)]$ and the elements $\frac{1}{|G|}b_\varphi$, $\varphi \in G\backslash \operatorname{Aut} L/G$, form the $\mathbb{Q}$-bases in $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_0(K, L)$ and in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\operatorname{Aut} L; G)$ respectively, then Ψ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces. The fact that Ψ is an algebra homomorphism follows immediately from Corollary 3.2. □

4. Galois rings

4.1. **Notation and some examples.** *For the rest of the paper we will assume that Γ is an integral domain, K the field of fractions of Γ, $K \subset L$ is a finite Galois extension with the Galois group G, $\imath : K \to L$ is a natural embedding, $\mathcal{M} \subset \operatorname{Aut} L$ is a separating monoid on which G acts by conjugations, $\bar{\Gamma}$ is the integral closure of Γ in L, $\mathcal{K} = (L * \mathcal{M})^G$.*

Recall from the introduction that an associative ring $U \subset \mathcal{K}$ containing Γ is called a *Galois Γ-ring* if it is finitely generated over Γ and $KU = \mathcal{K}$, $UK = \mathcal{K}$. Note that following Lemma 4.1 below both equalities in this definition are equivalent.

Example 4.1.
- *Let* $U=\Gamma[x;\sigma]$ *be the* skew polynomial ring *over* Γ, *where* $\sigma\in\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$, $x\gamma=\sigma(\gamma)x$, *for all* $\gamma\in\Gamma$. *Denote*
$$\mathcal{M}=\{\sigma^n\mid n=0,1,\dots\}\subset\operatorname{Aut}K,\mathcal{M}\simeq\mathbb{Z}_+.$$
Then for $L=K$, $G=\{e\}$ *the algebra* U *is a Galois* Γ*-ring in* $K*\mathcal{M}$, *when* x *is identified with* $1*\sigma\in K*\mathcal{M}$.
- *Analogously the* skew Laurent polynomial ring $U=\Gamma[x;\sigma^{\pm1}]$ *is a Galois ring with* $\mathcal{M}=\{\sigma^n\,|\,n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ *and trivial* G.
- *Let* $\Gamma=\Bbbk[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ *and* $\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_n\in\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$, *such that* $\sigma_i\sigma_j=\sigma_j\sigma_i$, $i,j=1,\dots,n$, $\mathcal{M}\subset\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$ *subgroup generated by* $\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_n$. *Then the skew group ring* $\Gamma*\mathcal{M}$ *is a Galois ring over* Γ *with trivial* G.

More examples will be given in Section 7.

4.2. **Characterization of a Galois ring.** A Γ-subbimodule of $\mathcal{K}$ which for every $m\in\mathcal{M}$ contains $[b_1m],\dots,[b_km]$ where $b_1,\dots,b_k$ is a K-basis in L^{H_m} will be called a Γ*-form* of $\mathcal{K}$. We will show that any Galois subring in $\mathcal{K}$ is its Γ-form.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $u\in U$ *be a nonzero element,* $T=\operatorname{supp}u$, $u=\sum\limits_{m\in T/G}[a_mm]$. *Then*
$$K(\Gamma u\Gamma)=(\Gamma u\Gamma)K=KuK\simeq\bigoplus_{m\in T/G}V(m).$$
In particular U *is a* Γ*-form of* $\mathcal{K}$. *Besides,*
$$L(\Gamma u\Gamma)=(\Gamma u\Gamma)L=LuL=\sum_{m\in T}Lm\subset L*\mathcal{M}.$$

Proof. Note that by Theorem 3.2, (5) and Lemma 2.1, (3) the modules $V(m)$, $m\in T/G$, are pairwise non-isomorphic simple K-bimodules. Since by Lemma 2.2, (2)
$$K[m]K=KK^m[m]\simeq V(m),m\in T/G,$$
we have
$$KuK\subset\sum_{m\in T/G}K[a_mm]K=\bigoplus_{m\in T/G}K[a_mm]K\simeq$$
$$\bigoplus_{m\in T/G}K[m]K\simeq\bigoplus_{m\in T/G}V(m).$$

Since all $V(m)$ are simple, then the image of KuK in $W=\underset{m\in T/G}{\oplus}V(m)$ generates W as a K-bimodule. Hence $KuK\simeq W$ and therefore $K[a_mm]K\subset KuK$ for all $m\in T/G$.

For the rest of the proof it is enough to consider $u=[am]$. Then
$$\Gamma[am]\Gamma=[\Gamma\cdot m(\Gamma)am]\text{ and }K\Gamma m(\Gamma)=Km(K).$$
The statement $K(\Gamma u\Gamma)=(\Gamma u\Gamma)K=KuK$ now follows from Lemma 2.2, (2).

Obviously $L[am]$ is a L-subbimodule in $\sum\limits_{m\in T}Lm$. Since this is a direct sum of non-isomorphic simple L-bimodules, any subbimodule has the form $\sum\limits_{m\in T'}Lm,T'\subset T$. On the other hand $\operatorname{supp}[am]=T$, and thus $L[am]=\sum\limits_{m\in T}Lm$. □

Corollary 4.1. *Let* $[a\varphi],[b\psi]\in\mathcal{K}$. *Then*
$$\operatorname{supp}\,[a\varphi]\Gamma[b\psi]=\operatorname{supp}\,[a\varphi]\operatorname{supp}\,[b\psi]=\mathcal{O}_\varphi\mathcal{O}_\psi.$$

Proof. Multiplication on L does not change the support. Then applying Lemma 4.1

$$\operatorname{supp}[a\varphi]\Gamma[b\psi] = \operatorname{supp} L([a\varphi]\Gamma[b\psi]) = \operatorname{supp} L(K[a\varphi]\Gamma)[b\psi] =$$

$$\operatorname{supp}(L[a\varphi]L)[b\psi] = \operatorname{supp}\Big(\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_\varphi} Lm\Big)[b\psi] = \mathcal{O}_\varphi\mathcal{O}_\psi.$$

□

Proposition 4.1. *Assume a ring $U \subset \mathcal{K}$ is generated over Γ by $u_1, \dots, u_k \in U$.*

1. *If $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{supp} u_i$ generate $\mathcal{M}$ as a semigroup, then U is a Galois ring.*
2. *If $LU = L * \mathcal{M}$, then U is a Galois ring.*

Proof. The statement (2) follows from (1). Consider a K-subbimodule $Ku_1K + \dots + Ku_kK$ in $\mathcal{K}$. By Lemma 4.1, this bimodule contains the elements $[a_1\varphi_1], \dots, [a_N\varphi_N]$, where $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N$ is a set of generators of $\mathcal{M}$. By Corollary 4.1 $\operatorname{supp}\big([a_1m_1]\Gamma[a_2m_2]\big) = \operatorname{supp}[a_1m_1] \cdot \operatorname{supp}[a_2m_2]$ for $[a_1m_1], [a_2m_2] \in U$, then for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists a nonzero $a_m \in L^{H_m}$ such that $[a_mm] \in U$. □

Theorem 4.1. *Let U be a Galois ring, $e \in \mathcal{M}$ the unit element and $U_e = U \cap Le$. Then*

1. *For every $x \in U$ holds $x_e \in K$ and $U_e \subset Ke$.*
2. *The $\Bbbk$-subalgebra in $L * \mathcal{M}$ generated by U and L coincides with $L * \mathcal{M}$.*
3. *$U \cap K$ is a maximal commutative $\Bbbk$-subalgebra in U.*
4. *The center $Z(U)$ of algebra U equals $U \cap K^{\mathcal{M}}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in U$ and $x_e = \lambda$, $\lambda \in L$. Then for any $g \in G$ holds $\lambda = x_e = (x^g)_e = \lambda^g$. Hence $\lambda \in L^G = K$. The statement (2) follows from Lemma 4.1.

Consider any $x \in L * \mathcal{M}$ such that $x\gamma = \gamma x$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Assume $x_\varphi \neq 0$ for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$, $\varphi \neq e$. Since the action of $\mathcal{M}$ is separating, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma^\varphi \neq \gamma$. Then $(\gamma x)_\varphi = \gamma x_\varphi \neq \gamma^\varphi x_\varphi = (x\gamma)_\varphi$ which is a contradiction. Hence $x \in U \cap Le = U_e \subset K$ which completes the proof of (3).

To prove (4) consider a nonzero $z \in Z(U)$. It follows from the proof of (3) that $z \in U \cap K$. Besides, $z \in \Gamma \cap Z(U)$ if and only if for every $[a\varphi] \in U$ holds $z[a\varphi] = [a\varphi]z$, i.e. $z = z^\varphi$. □

Theorem 4.1, (3) in particular shows that an noncommutative associative algebra is never a Galois ring over its center. For the same reason the universal enveloping algebra of a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra is not a Galois ring over the enveloping algebra of its Cartan subalgebra.

A submonoid H of $\mathcal{M}$ is called an *ideal* of $\mathcal{M}$ if $\mathcal{M}H \subset H$ and $H\mathcal{M} \subset H$.

Corollary 4.2. *There is one-to-one correspondence between the two-sided ideals in $\mathcal{K}$ and the G-invariant ideals in the monoid $\mathcal{M}$. This correspondence is given by the following bijection*

$$I \longmapsto \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(I) = \bigcup_{u\in I} \operatorname{supp} u, \quad \mathcal{I} \longmapsto I = I(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_{\varphi\in\mathcal{I}} K[\varphi]K, \tag{4.11}$$

where $I \subset \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{M}$ are ideals, $I \neq 0$, $\mathcal{I}$ is G-invariant. In particular, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a group then $\mathcal{K}$ is a simple ring.

Proof. Let I be a nonzero ideal in $\mathcal{K}$. If $0 \neq u \in I$ then

$$KuK \simeq \sum_{\varphi\in\operatorname{supp} u/G} K[\varphi]K$$

by Lemma 4.1 and for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$ holds $(K[m]K)(KuK) \subset I$, $(KuK)(K[m]K) \subset I$. By Corollary 4.1 for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{supp} u$ there exist $u', u'' \in I$ such that $m\varphi \in \operatorname{supp} u'$ and $\varphi m \in \operatorname{supp} u''$. This gives the map $I \mapsto \mathcal{I}(I)$. Analogously, $I(\mathcal{I})$ is a two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{K}$ and both maps are mutually inverse. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let U be a Galois ring over Γ, $S = \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$.*

1. *The multiplicative set S satisfies both left and right Ore condition.*

(2) *The canonical embedding* $U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ *induced the isomorphisms of rings of fractions* $[S^{-1}]U \simeq \mathcal{K}$, $U[S^{-1}] \simeq \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. Assume $s \in S, u \in U$. Following Lemma 4.1, U contains a right K-basis $u_1, \dots, u_k$ of KuK, hence in $\mathcal{K}$ holds

$$s^{-1}u = \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i \gamma_i s_i^{-1} \text{ for some } s_i \in S, \gamma_i \in \Gamma, i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Then in U holds

$$u \cdot (s_1 \dots s_k) = s \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i \gamma_i s_1 \dots s_{i-1} s_{i+1} \dots s_k),$$

which shows (1). Besides S acts on U torsion free both from the left and from the right. Then there exist the right and left rings of fractions $U[S^{-1}]$, $[S^{-1}]U$. Following Lemma 4.1, the canonical embedding $U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ satisfies the conditions for the ring of fractions ((i),(ii), (iii), [MCR], 2.1.3). Hence (2) follows. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *The tensor product of two Galois rings is a Galois ring.*

Proof. Let U_i be a Galois Γ_i-subring in the skew-group algebra $L_i * \mathcal{M}_i$ with fraction fields K_i, $G_i = G(L_i/K_i)$ $i = 1, 2$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$ acts on $L_1 \otimes_{\Bbbk} L_2$, $(m_1, m_2) \cdot (l_1 \otimes l_2) = m_1 l_1 \otimes m_2 l_2$. Since $\Bbbk$ is algebraically closed, $L_1 \otimes_{\Bbbk} L_2$ is a domain, hence $\mathcal{M}$ acts on its field of fractions L. Let $K \subset L$ be the field of fractions of $K_1 \otimes_{\Bbbk} K_2$. The extension $K \subset L$ is a finite Galois extension with the Galois group $G = G_1 \times G_2$. Consider the composition

$$\imath : U_1 \otimes_{\Bbbk} U_2 \longrightarrow (L_1 * \mathcal{M}_1) \otimes_{\Bbbk} (L_2 * \mathcal{M}_2) \overset{\Phi}{\simeq} (L_1 \otimes_{\Bbbk} L_2) * (\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2) \hookrightarrow L * \mathcal{M}.$$

We identify $U_1 \otimes_{\Bbbk} U_2$ with its image. To endow $U_1 \otimes_{\Bbbk} U_2$ with the structure of a Galois ring we shall prove that $L(U_1 \otimes_{\Bbbk} U_2) = L * \mathcal{M}$ (Proposition 4.1). But $L(U_1 \otimes_{\Bbbk} U_2) \supset L_1 U_1 \otimes_{\Bbbk} L_2 U_2 = (L_1 * \mathcal{M}_1) \otimes_{\Bbbk} (L_2 * \mathcal{M}_2)$, which contains $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2)$. $\square$

5. GALOIS ORDERS

5.1. **Characterization of Galois orders.** Let M be a right Γ-submodule in a torsion free right Γ-module N. Consider the right subbimodule in N

$$\mathbb{D}_{r,N}(M) = \{x \in N \mid \text{there exists } \gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \neq 0 \text{ such that } x \cdot \gamma \in M\},$$

which is clearly a right Γ-module. For the left modules $M \subset N$ analogously is defined $\mathbb{D}_{l,N}(M)$. If N is a Galois order U over Γ, the we write $\mathbb{D}_r(M)$ and $\mathbb{D}_r(M)$.

Lemma 5.1. *For right* Γ*-submodules of* U *holds the following:*

(1) $M \subset \mathbb{D}_r(M)$, $\mathbb{D}_r(\mathbb{D}_r(M)) = \mathbb{D}_r(M)$.
(2) $\mathbb{D}_r(M) = MK \cap U$.
(3) *If* $N \subset M$ *then* $\mathbb{D}_r(N) \subset \mathbb{D}_r(M)$.
(4) $\mathbb{D}_r(\Gamma) = U_e$.

Proof. Statements (1) and (3) are obvious. Statement (2) follows from the fact that U is torsion free over Γ. Theorem 4.1 (1) claims that $U_e \subset K$, implying (4). $\square$

Lemma 5.1, (2) gives the following characterization of Galois orders (cf. Definition 2) .

Corollary 5.1. *A Galois ring* U *over a noetherian* Γ *is* right Galois order *if and only if for every finitely generated right* Γ*-module* $M \subset U$, *the right* Γ*-module* $\mathbb{D}_r(M)$ *is finitely generated.*

Corollary 5.2. *If a Galois ring* U *over a noetherian domain* Γ *is projective as a right (left)* Γ*-module then* U *is a right (left) Galois order.*

Proof. If U is right projective, then there exists some projective right Γ-module U', such that $U \oplus U' \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{I}} \Gamma$ for some set $\mathfrak{I}$. If M is a finitely generated right submodule in U, then there exists a finite subset $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{I}$, such that $M \subset \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{J}} \Gamma \subset \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{I}} \Gamma$. Then $D_{r,U}(M) = D_{r,U\oplus U'}(M) = D_{r,\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{J}}\Gamma}(M) \subset \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{J}} \Gamma$. Then $D_r(M)$ is finitely generated since $|\mathfrak{J}| < \infty$ and Γ is noetherian. □

Corollary 5.3. *If U is right (left) Galois order then $\Gamma \subset U_e$ is an integral extension. In particular U_e is a normal ring.*

Proof. Lemma 5.1, (4) shows that $U_e = D_r(\Gamma) \subset K$ is finitely generated right (left) Γ-module. Moreover, it is finitely generated as left and right Γ-module simultaneously. The statement follows from Proposition 2.1. □

We will show in Theorem 5.2, (2) that the converse statement holds when $\mathcal{M}$ is a group.

5.2. **Harish-Chandra subalgebras.** Following [DFO2] a commutative subalgebra $\Gamma \subset U$ is called a *Harish-Chandra subalgebra* in U if for any $u \in U$, the $\Gamma-$bimodule $\Gamma u \Gamma$ is finitely generated both as a left and as a right Γ-module. Assume Γ and some family $\{u_i \in U\}_{i\in I}$ generates U as $\Bbbk$-algebra and every $\Gamma u_i \Gamma, i \in I$ is left and right finitely generated. Then it is easy to see, that Γ is a Harish-Chandra subalgebra in U.

Proposition 5.1. *Assume Γ is finitely generated algebra over $\Bbbk$, U is a Galois ring. Then Γ is a Harish-Chandra subalgebra in U if and only if $m \cdot \bar{\Gamma} = \bar{\Gamma}$ for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Note that $\bar{\Gamma}$ is finitely generated as Γ-module (Proposition 2.1). Suppose first $m \cdot \bar{\Gamma} = \bar{\Gamma}$ for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$. It is enough to prove that $\Gamma[am]\Gamma$ is finitely generated as a left (right) Γ-module for any $m \in \mathcal{M}, a \in L$. But following (2.7)

$$\Gamma[am]\Gamma = [\Gamma \cdot m(\Gamma) am] = [am \Gamma \cdot m^{-1}(\Gamma)] \tag{5.12}$$

is finitely generated over Γ from the left, since $\Gamma m(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$, and it is finitely generated from the right, since $\Gamma m^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$. Conversely, assume $\Gamma[am]\Gamma$ is finitely generated right Γ-module for any $[am] \in U$. It means that $\Gamma \cdot m^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is finite over Γ, i.e. $m^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$. Analogously, $m(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$. □

Proposition 5.2. *If U is a right (left) Galois order over a noetherian Γ then for any $m \in \mathcal{M}$ holds $m^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$ ($m(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$).*

Proof. Let U be right Galois order, $[am] \in U$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Assume $x = m^{-1}(\gamma) \notin \bar{\Gamma}$. Then the right Γ-submodule of U,

$$M = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^i [am] \Gamma = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [am x^i \Gamma]$$

is not finitely generated. On the other hand, x is an algebraic element over K. Let

$$\gamma_0 x^n + \gamma_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_n = 0, \ \gamma_i \in \Gamma, \ \gamma_0 \neq 0.$$

Consider the following finitely generated right Γ-module $N = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma^i [am] \Gamma = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [am x^i \Gamma]$. But $M \subset \mathbb{D}_r(N)$ which is a contradiction. The case of left order treated analogously. □

From Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.1 we immediately obtain

Corollary 5.4. *Let Γ be a noetherian domain and U a Galois order over Γ. Then Γ is a Harish-Chandra subalgebra in U.*

Remark 5.1. *Let Γ be integrally closed in K and $\varphi : K \longrightarrow K$ an automorphism of infinite order, such that $\varphi(\Gamma) \overset{\neq}{\subset} \Gamma$. Set $L = K$, $\mathcal{M} = \{\varphi^n | n \geq 0\}$. Then $L * \mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to the skew polynomial algebra $K[x; \varphi]$ (*[MCR]*). Its subalgebra U generated by Γ and x is a Galois ring. Clearly, U is left Galois order (but not right Galois order).*

5.3. **Properties of Galois orders.** Let U be a Galois ring over Γ, $S \subset \mathcal{M}$ a finite G-invariant subset. Denote

$$U(S) = \{u \in U \mid \operatorname{supp} u \subset S\}. \tag{5.13}$$

Obviously, it is a Γ-subbimodule in U and $\mathbb{D}_r(U(S)) = \mathbb{D}_l(U(S)) = U(S)$. This notion will give us one more characterization of Galois orders (Theorem 5.1).

It will be convenient to consider the Γ-bimodule structure of U as a $\Gamma \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Gamma$-module structure. For every $f \in \Gamma$ define $f^r_S \in \Gamma \otimes_{\Bbbk} L$ (respectively $f^l_S \in L \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Gamma$) as follows

$$f^r_S = \prod_{s\in S}(f \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f^{s^{-1}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{|S|} f^{|S|-i} \otimes h_i,\ h_0 = 1, \tag{5.14}$$

$$(\text{resp.} f^l_S = \prod_{s\in S}(f^s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f) = \sum_{i=0}^{|S|} h'_i \otimes f^{|S|-i},\ h'_0 = 1). \tag{5.15}$$

Since S is G-invariant, then all h_i and h'_i are G-invariant expressions in f^m, $m \in \mathcal{M}$, they belongs to K. If U is right (left) integral, then $h^r_S \in \Gamma \otimes \Gamma$ ($h^l_S \in \Gamma \otimes \Gamma$). We will consider the properties of $f_S = f^r_S$, the case of f^l_S can be treated analogously.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $m^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$ for any $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $S \subset \mathcal{M}$ a G-invariant subset, $u \in U$, $f \in \Gamma$.*

1. *$u \in U(S)$ if and only if $f_S \cdot u = 0$ for every $f \in \Gamma$.*
2. *If $T = \operatorname{supp} u \setminus S$ then $f_T \cdot u \in U(S)$ for every $f \in \Gamma$.*
3. *If $f_S = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} f_i \otimes g_i$, $[am] \in L * \mathcal{M}$ then $f_S \cdot [am] = [(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} f_i g_i^m a)m] = [\prod\limits_{s\in S}(f - f^{ms^{-1}})am]$.*
4. *Let S be a G-orbit and T an G-invariant subset in $\mathcal{M}$. The Γ-bimodule homomorphism $P^T_S (= P^T_S(f)) : U(T) \longrightarrow U(S)$, $u \mapsto f_{T\setminus S} \cdot u, f \in \Gamma$ is either zero or* $\operatorname{Ker} P^T_S = U(T \setminus S)$ *(both cases are possible, cf.* (1)*).*
5. *Let $S = S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_n$ be the decomposition of S in G-orbits and $P^S_{S_i} : U(S) \longrightarrow U(S_i)$ for some $f_i \in \Gamma$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ are defined in* (4) *nonzero homomorphisms. Then the homomorphism*

$$P^S : U(S) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} U(S_i),\ P^S = (P^S_{S_1}, \ldots, P^S_{S_n}), \tag{5.16}$$

   is a monomorphism.
6. *The statements above hold, if Γ is a Harish-Chandra subalgebra in U.*

Proof. Consider any $[am] \in L * \mathcal{M}$, $s \in \operatorname{Aut} L$. Then

$$(f \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f^s) \cdot [am] = [fam] - [amf^s] = [(f - f^{ms})am], \text{ hence}$$

$$f_S \cdot [am] = \prod_{s\in S}(f \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f^{s^{-1}}) \cdot [am] = [\prod_{s\in S}(f - f^{ms^{-1}})am].$$

If $m \in S$, then one of $f - f^{ms^{-1}}$ equals zero, hence, $f_S \cdot [am] = 0$. To prove the converse we show that for any $m \notin S$ there exists $f \in \Gamma$ such that $f \neq f^{ms^{-1}}$ for all $s \in S$. Following Lemma 2.1, (2) for every $m \in \mathcal{M}, m \neq e$, the space of m-invariants $\Gamma^m \neq \Gamma$. But the $\Bbbk$-vector space Γ can not be covered by finitely many proper subspaces $\Gamma^{ms^{-1}}, s \in S$, that completes the proof of (1). Obviously, $f_{\operatorname{supp} u} \cdot u = 0$ for any $f \in \Gamma$. Then statement (2) follows from (1) and from the equality $f_{\operatorname{supp} u} = f_S f_T$. Statement (3) follows from the formulas (2.8), 2.2. By (3), $f_{T\setminus S} \neq 0$ if and only if $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} f_i g_i^m \neq 0$, and in this case $f_{T\setminus S}$ acts on $U(S)$ injectively, that proves (4).

Finally, (5) follows from (4), since $\bigcap\limits_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Ker} P^S_{S_i} = 0$ and (6) follows from the definition. □

Theorem 5.1. *Let U be a Galois ring over a noetherian Harish-Chandra subalgebra Γ. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *U is right (respectively left) Galois order.*

(2) *$U(S)$ is finitely generated right (respectively left) Γ-module for any finite G-invariant $S \subset \mathcal{M}$.*

(3) *$U(\mathcal{O}_m)$ is finitely generated right (respectively left) Γ-module for any $m \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Assume U is right Galois order. Let S be a finite G-invariant subset of $\mathcal{M}$, and $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in U(S)$ a basis of $U(S)K$ as a right K-space. Then

$$\mathbb{D}_r\big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i\Gamma\big) = \big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i\Gamma\big)K \cap U = U(S)K \cap U = \mathbb{D}_r(U(S)) = U(S).$$

Therefore, $U(S) = \mathbb{D}_r\big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i\Gamma\big)$, which proves (2). Obviously, (2) implies (3). Assume (3) holds. Let $M \subset U$ be a finitely generated right Γ-submodule, $S = \operatorname{supp} M$. Then $M \subset U(S)$ and $\mathbb{D}_r(M) \subset \mathbb{D}_r(U(S)) = U(S)$. By Corollary 5.1, it remains to prove that $U(S)$ is finitely generated. Let $S = S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_n$ be the decomposition of S into G-orbits. Following Lemma 5.2, (5), P^S embeds $U(S)$ into $\overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} U(S_i)$ that completes the proof. □

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that U is a Galois ring, Γ is noetherian and $\mathcal{M}$ is a group.*

(1) *Assume $m^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$ (resp. $m(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$). Then U is right (resp. left) Galois order if and only if U_e is an integral extension of Γ.*

(2) *Assume Γ is a Harish-Chandra subalgebra in U. Then U is a Galois order if and only if U_e is an integral extension of Γ.*

Proof. Obviously (2) follows from (1) and Proposition 5.1. The statement “only if” in (1) follows from Corollary 5.3. Assume U_e is an integral extension of Γ, $m^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$, but U is not right order. Following Theorem 5.1, (3) there exists $m \in \mathcal{M}$, such that $U(\mathcal{O}_m)$ is not finitely generated.

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a group then there exists $[bm^{-1}] \in U$ by Lemma 4.1. Since $H_m = H_{m^{-1}}$ for any nonzero $\gamma \in \Gamma$ holds

$$([bm^{-1}]\gamma[ma])_e = \sum_{g \in G/H_m} b^g \gamma^{(m^{-1})^g} a^g. \tag{5.17}$$

Denote this expression by $v_\gamma(a)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma, a \in L^{H_m}$. Then $v_\gamma : L^{H_m} \to K$ is a right K-linear map and $v_{\gamma_1} + v_{\gamma_2} = v_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$.

Denote $|G/H_m|$ by n. Let $\{a_i \in L^{H_m} \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ be a basis of L^{H_m} over K. In particular, $[ma_i], i = 1, \ldots, n$ form a right K-basis of KmK. It will be convenient for us to enumerate entries of matrices both by the classes from G/H_m and the numbers $1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 5.3. (1) *For any $b \in L^{H_m}$, $b \neq 0$ the $G/H_m \times n$ matrix over L*

$$X = \big(b^g a_i^g \mid g \in G/H_m; i = 1, \ldots, n\big)$$

is non-degenerated.

(2) *There exists $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$, such that $n \times G/H_m$ matrix*

$$Y = \big(\gamma_i^{gm^{-1}g^{-1}} \mid i = 1, \ldots, n; g \in G/H_m\big)$$

is non-degenerated. Besides for $n \times n$ matrices holds

$$YX = \big(v_{\gamma_i}(a_j) \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, n\big).$$

(3) *Let $Z = \big(\mu_{ij} \mid i = 1, \ldots, n; j = 1, \ldots, n\big)$ be a non-degenerated matrix over K, $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j\mu_{ij}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ the new basis of L^{H_m}. Then*

$$(YX)Z = \big(v_{\gamma_j}(b_i) \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, n\big).$$

(4) *In particular, if $Z = (YX)^{-1}$ holds*

$$v_{\gamma_i}(b_j) = \delta_{ij}, i, j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Proof. To prove the first statement there is enough to prove the invertibility of the matrix $\big(a_i^g \mid g \in G/H_m; i = 1, \dots, n\big)$. Assume, opposite, i.e. $(\sum\limits_{g\in G/H_m} \lambda_g g)(a_i) = 0, \lambda_g \in L$ for some vector $(\lambda_g \mid g \in G/H_m) \neq 0$ and for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\big(\sum\limits_{g\in G/H_m} \lambda_g g\big)|_{L^{H_m}} = 0$, which contradicts to the independence of different characters $g|_{L^{H_m}} : L^{H_m} \to L$, $g \in G/H_m$.

Analogously all $\{gm^{-1}g^{-1} \mid g \in G/H_m\}$ act differently in restriction on Γ, hence the row rank of $G/H_m \times \Gamma$ matrix over L

$$\big(\gamma^g \mid g \in G/H_m; \gamma \in \Gamma\big),$$

equals n. Then its column rank of this matrix equals n as well, that finishes the proof of the second statement.

The third and fours statement is proved by direct calculation

$$(YX)_{ij} = \sum_{g\in G/H_m} b^g \gamma_i^{gm^{-1}g^{-1}} a_j^g = v_{\gamma_i}(a_j).$$

$$((YX)Z)_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} v_{\gamma_i}(a_l)\mu_{lj} = v_{\gamma_i}(\sum_{l=1}^{n} a_l\mu_{lj}) = v_{\gamma_i}(b_j).$$

The last statement is obvious. □

Assume $U(\mathcal{O}_m)$ contains a strictly ascending chain of right Γ-submodules

$$M_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k}[mt_i]\Gamma,\ i = 1, 2, \dots,\ M = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k. \tag{5.18}$$

Fix $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ from Lemma 5.3, (2) and the basis $b_1, \dots, b_n$ from Lemma 5.3, (4).

Consider the decomposition $t_i = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{ij} b_j, \gamma_{ij} \in K$. Then there exists $1 \leq l \leq n$, such that the Γ-module $T_l = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma\gamma_{il} \subset K$ is not finitely generated. In opposite case from notherianity of Γ and $M \subset \bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{n} T_i$ follows, that M is finitely generated.

Then $([bm]\gamma_l[m^{-1}M])_e = v_{\gamma_l}(M) = T_l$, which is not finitely generated. Let $S = \mathcal{O}_{m^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_m$. Since $m^{\pm 1}(\Gamma) \subset \bar{\Gamma}$ there exists $F = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} f_i \otimes g_i \in \Gamma \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Gamma$ (by Lemma 5.2, (3)), which defines a nonzero morphism $P_e^S : U(S) \longrightarrow U(\{e\}) = U_e$. Then by Lemma 5.2, (3)

$$P_e^S([bm]\gamma_l[m^{-1}M]) = \gamma T_l \subset U_e,\ \gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i g_i,$$

which means that U_e is not finitely generated. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a group, Γ normal and noetherian, $\mathcal{M} \cdot \bar{\Gamma} = \bar{\Gamma}$, $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{M}$ a set of generators of $\mathcal{M}$ as a semigroup, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \bar{\Gamma}$. Then the subalgebra U in $\mathcal{K}$ generated by Γ and $[a_1\varphi_1], \dots, [a_n\varphi_n]$ is a Galois order over Γ.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M} \cdot \bar{\Gamma} = \bar{\Gamma}$ any $u \in U$ has a form $u = \sum\limits_{m\in\mathcal{M}} [a_m m]$, where all a_m are in $\bar{\Gamma}$. In particular, if $u \in U_e$ then $u = [a_e e]$ where $a_e \in K \cap \bar{\Gamma}$. Since Γ is normal $U_e = \Gamma$. Applying Theorem 5.2, (2) we obtain the statement. □

The next corollary is a noncommutative analog of Proposition 2.2.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $U \subset L * \mathcal{M}$ be a Galois ring over noetherian Γ, $\mathcal{M}$ a group and Γ a normal $\Bbbk$-algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent*

(1) *U is a Galois order.*

(2) *Γ is a Harish-Chandra subalgebra and, if for $u \in U$ there exists a nonzero $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma u \in \Gamma$ or $u\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $u \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. Assume (1). Then Γ is a Harish-Chandra subalgebra by Corollary 5.4. If $u\gamma \in \Gamma$ for $u \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $\operatorname{supp} u = \{e\}$, hence $u \in U_e$. Applying Corollary 5.3 we obtain (2). To prove the converse implication consider $u \in U_e$. Since $U_e \subset K$ (Theorem 4.1, (1)), there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$, such that $\gamma u \in \Gamma$. Thus, $u \in \Gamma$. Theorem 5.2, (2) completes the proof. $\square$

5.4. **Filtered Galois orders.** Let U be a Galois ring over a noetherian normal $\Bbbk$-algebra Γ. Suppose in addition that U is an algebra over $\Bbbk$, endowed with an increasing exhausting filtration $\{U_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $U_{-1} = \{0\}$, $U_0 = \Bbbk$, $U_iU_j \subset U_{i+j}$ and $\operatorname{gr} U = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} U_i/U_{i-1}$ the associated graded algebra.

The filtration on Γ induces a degree "deg" both on U and $\operatorname{gr} U$. For $u \in U$ denote by $\bar{u} \in \operatorname{gr} U$ the corresponding homogeneous element and denote by $\operatorname{gr}\Gamma$ the image of Γ in $\operatorname{gr} U$.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume $\operatorname{gr} U$ is a domain. If the canonical embedding $\imath : \operatorname{gr}\Gamma \hookrightarrow \operatorname{gr} U$ induces an epimorphism*

$$\imath^* : \operatorname{Specm} \operatorname{gr} U \to \operatorname{Specm} \operatorname{gr}\Gamma$$

then U is a Galois order over Γ.

Proof. We apply Corollary 5.6. Suppose $y = xu \neq 0$, $y, x \in \Gamma$, $u \in U \setminus \Gamma$ with minimal possible $\deg y$. Then $\bar{y} = \bar{x}\bar{u} \neq 0$ in $\operatorname{gr} U$. By Proposition 2.2 $\bar{u} \in \operatorname{gr}\Gamma$. Hence $\bar{u} = \bar{z}$ for some in $z \in \Gamma$. Since $z \neq u$, we have $y_1 = xu_1$ where $u_1 = u - z$, $y_1 = y - xz$. Then $x, y_1 \in \Gamma$, $u \notin \Gamma$ and $\deg y_1 < \deg y$. Obtained contradiction shows that $u \in \Gamma$. $\square$

6. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of Galois orders

In this section we assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is a group of finite growth and Γ is an affine $\Bbbk$-algebra of finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension.

6.1. **Growth of group algebras.** Let $S_* = \{S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots \subset S_N \subset \dots\}$ be an increasing chain of finite sets. Then the growth of S_* is defined as

$$\operatorname{growth}(S_*) = \overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \log_N |S_N|. \tag{6.19}$$

For $s \in S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} S_i$ set $\deg s = i$ if $s \in S_i \setminus S_{i-1}$. Let $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ be a set of generators of Γ. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\Gamma_N \subset \Gamma$ the subspace of Γ generated by the products $\gamma_{i_1} \dots \gamma_{i_t}$, for all $t \leq N$, $i_1, \dots, i_t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Let $d_\Gamma(N) = \dim_\Bbbk \Gamma_N$ and let $B_N(\Gamma)$ be a basis in Γ_N ($B_1(\Gamma) = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$). Fix a set of generators of $\mathcal{M}$ of the form $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{O}_{\varphi_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{O}_{\varphi_n}$. For $N \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{M}_N$ be the set of words $w \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $l(w) \leq N$, where l is the length of w, i.e.

$$\mathcal{M}_{N+1} = \mathcal{M}_N \bigcup \Big(\bigcup_{\varphi\in\mathcal{M}_1} \varphi \cdot \mathcal{M}_N \Big). \tag{6.20}$$

Note that all sets $\mathcal{M}_N$ are G-invariant. Denote the cardinality of $\mathcal{M}_N$ by $d_\mathcal{M}(N)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_* = \{\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{M}_N \subset \dots\}$. Then growth($\mathcal{M}$) is by definition growth($\mathcal{M}_*$).

Let $\Gamma[\mathcal{M}]$ be the group algebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Assume, G acts on $\Gamma[\mathcal{M}]$, acting by $\mathcal{M}$ by conjugations and trivially on Γ. Then the space $\Gamma[\mathcal{M}]_N$ has a G-invariant basis

$$B_N(\Gamma[\mathcal{M}]) = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{N} \bigsqcup_{\substack{w\in\mathcal{M}_{N-i},\\ l(w)=N-i,}} B_i(\Gamma)w. \tag{6.21}$$

and $\operatorname{GKdim}\Gamma[\mathcal{M}] = \operatorname{growth} B_*(\Gamma[\mathcal{M}])$. In particular (e.g. [MCR], Lemma 8.2.4)

$$\operatorname{GKdim}\Gamma[\mathcal{M}] = \operatorname{GKdim}\Gamma + \operatorname{growth}(\mathcal{M}). \tag{6.22}$$

The growth of the chain $B_*(\Gamma[\mathcal{M}])/G$ is equal to growth $B_*(\Gamma[\mathcal{M}])$, since

$$|B_N(\Gamma[\mathcal{M}])| > |B_N(\Gamma[\mathcal{M}])/G| \geq \frac{1}{|G|}|B_N(\Gamma[\mathcal{M}])|.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that the Galois ring U is generated over Γ by a set of generators $\mathcal{G} = \{[a_1\varphi_1], \dots, [a_n\varphi_n]\}$. Set $B_1(U) = B_1(\Gamma) \sqcup \mathcal{G}$. As above, define the subspaces U_N and dimensions $d_U(N)$. For every $N \geq 1$ fix a basis $B_N(U)$ of U_N.

6.2. **Gelfand-Kirillov dimension.** The goal of this section is to prove (under a certain condition) an analogue of the formula (6.22) for Galois orders.

Condition 1. *For every finite dimensional* $\Bbbk$*-vector space* $V \subset \bar{\Gamma}$ *the set* $\mathcal{M} \cdot V$ *is contained in a finite dimensional subspace of* $\bar{\Gamma}$.

Theorem 6.1. *If* U *is a Galois* Γ*-ring which satisfies Condition 1 and* $\mathcal{M}$ *is a group of finite* growth($\mathcal{M}$)*, then*

$$\operatorname{GKdim} U \geq \operatorname{GKdim} \Gamma + \operatorname{growth}(\mathcal{M}). \tag{6.23}$$

The proof of this result is based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. *If for some* $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $C > 0$ *for any* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *holds*

$$d_U(pN + q) \geq C d_{\Gamma[\mathcal{M}]}(N), \tag{6.24}$$

then $\operatorname{GKdim} U \geq \operatorname{GKdim} \Gamma[\mathcal{M}]$.

Proof.

$$\operatorname{GKdim} \Gamma[\mathcal{M}] = \overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \log_N d_{\Gamma[\mathcal{M}]}(N) \leq \overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \log_N d_U(pN+q) =$$
$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \log_{pN+q} d_U(pN+q) \leq \overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \log_N d_U(N) = \operatorname{GKdim} U.$$

□

Denote by $N(i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ the minimal number such that for any $m \in \mathcal{M}_i$, $U_{N(i)}$ contains an element of the form $[bm]$, $b \neq 0$.

Lemma 6.2. (1) *For every* $i = 1, \dots, n$ *there exists a finite dimensional over* $\Bbbk$ *space* $V_i \subset \Gamma$, *such that for any* $x \in U$ *and* $m \in \operatorname{supp} x$ *there exists* $y \in [a_i\varphi_i]V_i x$ *such that* $\varphi_i m \in \operatorname{supp} y$. *Besides* $|\operatorname{supp} y| \leq |G||\operatorname{supp} x|$ *and* $\deg y - \deg x \leq d$ *for some fixed* $d > 0$.

(2) *For every* $k \geq 1$ *there exists* $t(k) \geq 0$ *with the following property: for every* $j \geq 1$ *and* $u \in U_j$, *such that* $|\operatorname{supp} u| \leq k$ *and for any* $m \in \operatorname{supp} u$ *there exists a nonzero element* $[bm] \in U_{j+t(k)}$.

(3) *The sequence* $N(i+1) - N(i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ *is bounded.*

Proof. Let $L(G/H_{\varphi_i})$ be the vector space over L with the basis, enumerated by cosets G/H_φ, $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$. We endow this space with the standard scalar product. Fix i, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and consider the nonzero vector

$$v(x) = (a_i^g x^{\varphi_i^g}_{(\varphi_i^g)^{-1}\varphi_i m})_{g \in G/H_{\varphi_i}} \in L(G/H_{\varphi_i}).$$

Then for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ immediate calculation shows, that

$$([a\varphi_i]\gamma x)_{\varphi_i m} = v(x) \cdot (\gamma^{\varphi_i^g})_{g \in G/H_{\varphi_i}} \in L^{H_{\varphi_i m}}. \tag{6.25}$$

Since $\varphi_i^g, g \in G/H_\varphi$ are different, there exist $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \in \Gamma$, $k = |G/H_{\varphi_i}|$, such that the $k \times k$ matrix $\left(\gamma_j^{\varphi_i^g}\right)_{\substack{j=1,\dots,k;\\ g \in G/H_{\varphi_i}}}$ is non-degenerated. Then we set $V_i = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$. Since the multiplication on $\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \neq 0$ does not change the support, we obtain

$$|\operatorname{supp} y| \leq k|\operatorname{supp} x| \leq |G|\,|\operatorname{supp} x|.$$

As d we can choose the maximum of $d_i = 1 + \max\{\deg v \mid v \in V_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. It proves (1).

Now we prove (2). If $\operatorname{supp} u = G \cdot m$ then $u = [bm]$ for some $b \in L^{H_m}$ and there is nothing to prove. Fix some $k \geq 2$. Assume $u = [cm] + v, m \notin \operatorname{supp} v, |\operatorname{supp} v| \leq k - 1$. For $f \in \Gamma_1$ consider the polynomial f_S, (subsection 5.3, (5.14)) with $S = \operatorname{supp} u \setminus G\cdot m$. Applying Lemma 5.2 we obtain the element

$$f_S \cdot u = f_S \cdot [cm] = \big[a \prod_{s\in S}(f - f^{ms^{-1}})m\big].$$

Since nonunit elements $ms^{-1}, s \in S$ act nontrivially on Γ, there exists $f \in \Gamma^1$ such that $f_S \cdot u$ is nonzero. Then

$$[bm] := f_S \cdot u = \sum_{i=0}^{|S|} T_i u f^{|S|-i}, \text{ where } T_i = \sum_{\substack{T\subset S,\\ T=\{t_1,\dots,t_i\},}} f^{t_1}\dots f^{t_i} \in \Gamma.$$

Due to Condition 1 all $f^t, t \in S$ belong to a finite dimensional space V generated by $\{\psi\Gamma_1 \mid \psi \in \mathcal{M}\} \subset \bar{\Gamma}$. Hence all T_i-th belong to the finite dimensional space $V(k) = \Gamma \cap \sum_{i=0}^{k} V^i$. Denote C_k the maximal degree of elements from $V(k)$. Then

$$\deg[bm] \leq \max\{\deg T_i u f^{|S|-i} \mid i = 0,\dots,|S|\} \leq C_k + j + |S|.$$

Hence we can set $t(k) = k + C_k$.

To prove (3) consider $x = [cm] \in U_{N(i)}$, $m \in \mathcal{M}_i$. By (1) for given $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{M}_1$ there exists $y \in U_{N(i)+d}$ such that $\varphi m \in \operatorname{supp} y$ and $\operatorname{supp} y \leq |G|$. Then by (2) $U_{N(i)+d+t(|G|)}$ contains an element of the form $[b\varphi_i m]$. □

Now we are in the position to prove Theorem 6.1. Let $D = d + t(|G|)$. The space U_1 contains elements $[a_i\varphi_i]$, where φ_i runs over $\mathcal{M}_1/G$. Then, by Lemma 6.2, (3), $U_{D(N-1)+1}$ contains a set of the form $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N = \{[c_m m] \mid m \in \mathcal{M}_N, c_m \neq 0\}$, hence $U_{D(N-1)+N+1}$ contains $\Gamma_N\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N$. All elements from $\Gamma_N\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N$ are linearly independent. But the set $B_N(\Gamma[\mathcal{M}]/G)$ is embedded into $\Gamma_N\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N$ by setting $\gamma[w] \mapsto \gamma[c_w w]$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_N$, $w \in \mathcal{M}_{N+1}$. Therefore,

$$d_U(N(D+1)+1-D) \geq |B_N(\Gamma[\mathcal{M}]/G)| \geq \frac{1}{|G|}|B_N(\Gamma[\mathcal{M}])|.$$

It remains to set $p = D+1, q = 1-D$, $C = \dfrac{1}{|G|}$ and apply Lemma 6.1.

7. Examples of Galois rings and orders

7.1. **Generalized Weyl algebras.** Let σ be an automorphism of Γ of infinite order, X and Y generators of the bimodules $\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}$ and Γ_σ respectively, $V = \Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}} \oplus \Gamma_\sigma$, $G = \{e\}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is the cyclic group generated by σ. Consider a Galois order U in $K * \mathcal{M}$ which is the image of some homomorphism $\tau : \Gamma[V] \longrightarrow K * \mathcal{M}$ of the form $\tau(X) = a_X b_X^{-1}\sigma^{-1}$, $\tau(Y) = a_Y b_Y^{-1}\sigma$ for some $a_X, b_X, a_Y, b_Y \in \Gamma\setminus\{0\}$. We can assume $a_X = b_X = 1$. The element $a = a_Y b_Y^{-1}$ defines a 2-cocycle $\xi : \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z} \to K^*$, such that $\xi(-1,1) = a$. The following statement is obvious.

Proposition 7.1. *U is a Galois order over Γ if and only if $a \in \Gamma$. In this case U is isomorphic to a generalized Weyl algebra of rank 1 ([Ba]), i.e. the algebra generated over Γ by X, Y subject to the relations*

$$X\lambda = \lambda^\sigma X, \quad \lambda Y = Y\lambda^\sigma, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda;\ YX = a, \quad XY = a^\sigma.$$

7.2. **Filtered algebras.** Let U be an associative filtered algebra over $\mathbb{k}$.

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose U is generated by $u_1,\dots,u_k$ over Γ, $\operatorname{gr} U$ a polynomial ring in N variables, $\mathcal{M} \subset \operatorname{Aut} L$ a group and $f : U \to \mathcal{K}$ a homomorphism such that $\bigcup_i \operatorname{supp} f(u_i)$ generates $\mathcal{M}$. If*

$$\operatorname{GKdim}\Gamma + \operatorname{growth}\mathcal{M} = N$$

then f is an embedding and U is a Galois ring over Γ.

Proof. Note that $f(U)$ is a Galois Γ-ring by Proposition 4.1. Also

$$\operatorname{GKdim} f(U) \geq \operatorname{GKdim}\Gamma + \operatorname{growth}\mathcal{M} = N$$

by Theorem 6.1. Hence it is enough to prove that $I = \operatorname{Ker} f$ equals zero. Assume $I \neq 0$. Then

$$N = \operatorname{GKdim} U = \operatorname{GKdim}\operatorname{gr} U > \operatorname{GKdim}\operatorname{gr} U/\operatorname{gr} I = \operatorname{GKdim} f(U) \geq N$$

which is a contradiction. □

Below in 7.2.1 Theorem 7.1 will be applied to construct examples of Galois rings.

7.2.1. *General linear Lie algebras.* Let $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ be the general linear Lie algebra over $\Bbbk$, $e_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ its standard basis, U_n its universal enveloping algebra and Z_n the center of U_n. Then we have natural embeddings on the left upper corner

$$\mathfrak{gl}_1 \subset \mathfrak{gl}_2 \subset \ldots \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n \text{ and induced embeddings } U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \ldots \subset U_n.$$

The *Gelfand-Tsetlin* subalgebra Γ in U_n is generated by $\{Z_m \mid m = 1, \dots, n\}$, which is a polynomial algebra in $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ variables. Denote by K be the field of fractions of Γ. In the paper [Zh] was constructed a system of generators $\{\lambda_{ij} \mid 1 \leqslant j \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$ of Γ and the Galois extension $\Lambda \supset \Gamma$ with the following properties.

1. Λ is the algebra of polynomial functions on $\mathcal{L}$ algebra in variables $\{\lambda_{ij} \mid \ell_{ij} \in \Bbbk, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$, $\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{Specm}\Lambda$. An element $\ell = (\lambda_{ij} - \ell_{ij} \mid \ell_{ij} \in \Bbbk, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is usually written in the form of tableaux consisting of n rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \ell_{n1} & & \ell_{n2} & & \cdots & & & \ell_{nn} \\ & \ell_{n-1,1} & & & \cdots & & \ell_{n-1,n-1} & \\ & & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots & \\ & & & \ell_{21} & & \ell_{22} & & \\ & & & & \ell_{11} & & & \end{array} \tag{7.26}$$

2. The product of the symmetric groups $G = \prod_{i=1}^{n} S_i$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{L}$, where every S_i permutes elements of i-th row. This action induces the action of G on Λ.
3. Γ is identified with the invariants Λ^G, such that $\gamma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}(\gamma_{i1}, \dots, \gamma_{ii})$ where σ_{ij} is the jth symmetrical polynomial in i variables. Denote by L the fraction field of Λ. Then $L^G = K$ and $G = G(L/K)$ is the Galois group of the field extension $K \subset L$.
4. Denote by $\delta_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}$ a tableau whose ij-th element equals 1 and all other elements are 0. Let $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ be additive free abelian group with free generators δ^{ij}, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Analogously to (7.26) the elements of $\mathcal{M}$ are written as tableaux. Then $\mathcal{M}$ acts on $\mathcal{L}$ by shifts: $\delta^{ij} \cdot \ell = \ell + \delta^{ij}$, $\delta^{ij} \in \mathcal{M}$. This action of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ induces the action on Λ and L, hence we can consider $\mathcal{M}$ as a subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut} L$. Note that G acts on $\mathcal{M}$ by conjugations. As in Section 4 denote $\mathcal{K} = (L * \mathcal{M})^G$.

In [Zh], Ch. X.70, Theorem 7, the Gelfand-Tsetlin formulae (in Zhelobenko form) are given for the action of generators of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ on a Gelfand-Tsetlin basis of a finite dimensional irreducible representation. We show that these formulae in fact endow $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ with a structure of a Galois order (Proposition 7.2). We need the following corollary from the Gelfand-Tsetlin formulae (see [BL] or [DFO2]).

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathcal{L}$ be a set of tableaux $\ell = (\ell_{ij})$ such that $\ell_{ij} - \ell_{i'j'} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all possible pairs i, i', j, j', $(i,j) \neq (i',j')$. Consider a $\Bbbk$-vector space T_ℓ with the basis $\mathcal{M}$ and with the action of $E_k^+ = e_{k,k+1}, E_k^- = e_{k+1,k}$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, given by the formulae*

$$E_k^{\pm} \cdot m = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ki}^{\pm}(\ell)(m \pm \delta^{ki}),$$

where $m \in \mathcal{M}$ *and*

$$a^{\pm}_{ki}(\ell) = \mp \frac{\prod_j (\ell_{k\pm1,j} - \ell_{ki})}{\prod_{j\neq i}(\ell_{kj} - \ell_{ki})}. \tag{7.27}$$

The action of an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ *on the basis vector* $[\,\ell\,]$ *is just the multiplication on* $\gamma(\ell) \in \Bbbk$.

Analogously to [O] we show, that the formulae (7.28) defines a homomorphism of U_n to $\mathcal{K}$.

Proposition 7.2. *U_n is a Galois ring over Γ. This structure is defined by the embedding $\imath : U \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ where*

$$\imath(e_{k\,k+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta^{ki} a^{+}_{ki} = [\,\delta^{k1} a^{+}_{k1}\,],\ \ \imath(e_{k+1\,k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-\delta^{ki}) a^{-}_{ki} = [\,(-\delta^{k1}) a^{-}_{k1}\,], \tag{7.28}$$
$$a^{\pm}_{ki} = \mp \frac{\prod_j (\lambda_{k\pm1,j} - \lambda_{ki})}{\prod_{j\neq i}(\lambda_{kj} - \lambda_{ki})},\ \textit{for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proof. Let S be the multiplicative $\mathcal{M}$-invariant subset in Γ, generated by $\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{ij'} - k$ for all possible i, i', j, j' with $(i,j) \neq (i',j')$, where k running $\mathbb{Z}$, and Λ_S the corresponding localization. Then $\Lambda_S * \mathcal{M}$ has a structure of a $\Lambda_S * \mathcal{M}$-bimodule and for every $\ell \in \Omega = \operatorname{Specm} \Lambda_S$ is defined a left $\Lambda_S * \mathcal{M}$-module

$$V_\ell = (\Lambda_S * \mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\Lambda_S} (\Lambda_S/\ell).$$

Analogously the action from the left by elements $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\pm\delta^{ki}) a^{\pm}_{ki}(\lambda), k = 1, \dots, n-1$ defines on $V(\ell)$ the structure of the left U-module, isomorphic to the module T_ℓ from Theorem 7.2. These module structures define homomorphisms of $\Bbbk$-algebras

$$\tau_\ell : U \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\Bbbk}(V_\ell) \text{ and } \rho_\ell : \Lambda_S * \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\Bbbk}(V_\ell),$$

besides $\operatorname{Im} \tau_\ell \subset \operatorname{Im} \rho_\ell$. Hence we have the diagonal homomorphisms of $\Bbbk$-algebras

$$\Delta_\tau : U \longrightarrow \prod_{\ell\in\Omega} \operatorname{End}_{\Bbbk}(V_\ell) \text{ and } \Delta_\rho : \Lambda_S * \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \prod_{\ell\in\Omega} \operatorname{End}_{\Bbbk}(V_\ell),$$

again $\operatorname{Im} \Delta_\tau \subset \operatorname{Im} \Delta_\rho$. But Δ_ρ is an embedding, since for every nonzero $x \in \Lambda_S * \mathcal{M}$ there exists V_ℓ, such that $x \cdot V_\ell \neq 0$. Hence the mappings (7.28) from Proposition 7.2 defines the homomorphism $i : U \longrightarrow \Lambda_S * \mathcal{M}$. Note, that the elements in (7.28) belongs to $\mathcal{K}$, hence i defines $\imath : U \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$. To prove, that U is a Galois ring note, that $U = U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a filtered algebra, $\operatorname{GKdim} U = n^2$ and

$$\operatorname{GKdim} \Gamma + \operatorname{growth} \mathcal{M} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = n^2.$$

Applying Theorem 7.1 we conclude that $\imath$ is an embedding and thus U is a Galois ring.

Now we give here two different proofs of the fact that U is a Galois order.

First method to prove that $U = U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a Galois order is based on Proposition 5.3. Let $X = (x_{ij})$ be $n \times n$-matrix with indeterminates x_{ij}, X_k its submatrix of size $k \times k$, formed by the intersection of the first k rows and the first k columns of X, χ_{ki} $(i \leq k)$ i-th coefficient of the characteristic polynomial of X_k. In the case of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ corresponding graded algebra $\bar{U}$ can be identified with the polynomial algebra in the variables x_{ij}, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and the image of the canonical embedding $\imath : \operatorname{gr} \Gamma \hookrightarrow \operatorname{gr} U$ (see Proposition 5.3) is generated by χ_{ki}, $1 \leq k \leq n; 1 \leq i \leq k$. The $\operatorname{Specm} \operatorname{gr} U$ in a natural way can be interpreted as the space $n\times n$ matrices. Besides the induces map $\imath^* : \operatorname{Specm} \operatorname{gr} U \to \operatorname{Specm} \operatorname{gr} \Gamma$ is the map

$$\mathbb{C}^{n^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n(n+1)/2}, A \longmapsto \big(\chi_{ki}(A_k) \mid k = 1, \dots, n; i = 1, \dots, k\big),$$

defined in [KW]. It is known, that this map is an epimorphism ([KW], Theorem 1). Then Proposition 5.3 implies that U is a Galois order.

Another method is based on the paper [O1], where is was shown that the variety $(\imath^*)^{-1}(0)$ is an equidimensional variety of dimension $\dfrac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Further, from this fact in [FO1] it is deduced that

U is free (both right and left) Γ-module. Applying now Corollary 5.2 we conclude that $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a Galois order. $\square$

Realization of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ as a Galois order has some interesting consequences, in particular, the decomposition $\mathcal{K} \simeq \bigoplus_{\varphi\in\mathcal{M}/G} V(\varphi)$ of the localization $\mathcal{K}$ of U_n by $\Gamma\setminus\{0\}$; structure of the tensor category generated by $V(\varphi)$s, etc. These results will be discussed elsewhere.

Remark 7.1. *Realization of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ as a Galois order is analogous to the embedding of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ into a product of localized Weyl algebras constructed in* [Kh].

Remark 7.2. *The developed techniques can be used effectively in the case of finite W-algebras. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{gl}_m$, $f\in\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}=\oplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{g}_j$ a* good grading *for f, i.e. $f\in\mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\operatorname{ad} f$ is injective on $\mathfrak{g}_j$ for $j\leqslant -1$ and surjective for $j\geqslant -1$. A non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form $(.\,,.)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ induces a non-degenerate skew-symmetric form on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ defined by $\langle x,y\rangle=([x,y],f)$. Let $\mathcal{I}\subset\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ be a maximal isotropic subspace and set $\mathfrak{t}=\bigoplus_{j\leqslant-2}\mathfrak{g}_j\oplus\mathcal{I}$. Let $\chi:U(\mathfrak{t})\to C$ be the one-dimensional representation such that $x\mapsto(x,f)$ for any $x\in\mathfrak{t}$, $I_\chi=\operatorname{Ker}\chi$ and $Q_\chi=U(\mathfrak{g})/U(\mathfrak{g})I_\chi$. Then*

$$\operatorname{End}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi)^{op}.$$

is the finite W-algebra associated to the nilpotent element $f\in\mathfrak{g}$.

It was shown in [BK] *that any finite W-algebra (of type A) is isomorphic to a certain quotient of the* shifted Yangian. *It is parametrized by a sequence $\pi=(p_1,ldots,p_n)$ with $p_1\leqslant\cdots\leqslant p_n$. We denote the corresponding W-algebra by $W(\pi)$. Let $\pi_k=(p_1,\ldots,p_k)$, $k\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$. Then we have the chain of subalgebras*

$$W(\pi_1)\subset W(\pi_2)\subset\cdots\subset W(\pi_n)=W(\pi).$$

Denote by Γ the subalgebra of $W(\pi)$ generated by the centers of $W(\pi_k)$ for $k=1,\ldots,n$.

Theorem 7.3 ([FMO],Theorem 6.6). *$W(\pi)$ is a Galois order over Γ.*

7.3. **Rings of invariant differential operators.**

In this section we construct some Galois rings of invariant differential operators on n-dimensional torus $\Bbbk^n\setminus\{0\}$. Let A_1 be the first Weyl algebra over $\Bbbk$ generated by x and ∂ and $\tilde{A}_1$ its localization by x. Denote $t=\partial x$. Then

$$\tilde{A}_1\simeq\Bbbk[t,\sigma^{\pm1}]\simeq\Bbbk[t]*\mathbb{Z},$$

where $\sigma\in\operatorname{Aut}\Bbbk[t]$, $\sigma(t)=t-1$ and the first isomorphism is given by: $x\mapsto\sigma$, $\partial\mapsto t\sigma^{-1}$. Let $\tilde{A}_n$ be the n-th tensor power of $\tilde{A}_1$,

$$\tilde{A}_n\simeq\Bbbk[t_1,\ldots,t_n,\sigma_1^{\pm1},\ldots,\sigma_n^{\pm1}]\simeq\Bbbk[t_1,\ldots,t_n]*\mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where x_i,∂_i are natural generators of the n-th Weyl algebra A_n, $t_i=\partial_i x_i$, $\sigma_i(t_j)=t_j-\delta_{ij}$, $i=1,\ldots,n$. Let $S=\Bbbk[t_1,\ldots,t_n]\setminus\{0\}$. Then in particular we have

$$A_n[S^{-1}]\simeq\Bbbk(t_1,\ldots,t_n)*\mathbb{Z}^n.$$

7.3.1. *Symmetric differential operators on a torus.* The symmetric group S_n acts naturally on $\tilde{A}_n$ by permutations. Denote $\Gamma=\Bbbk[t_1,\ldots,t_n]^{S_n}$. Then we immediately have

Proposition 7.3. *$\tilde{A}_n^{S_n}$ is a Galois ring over Γ in $(\Bbbk(t_1,\ldots,t_n)*\mathbb{Z}^n)^{S_n}$, where $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts on the field of rational functions by corresponding shifts.*

7.3.2. *Orthogonal differential operators on a torus.* The algebra $\tilde{A}_1$ has an involution ε such that $\varepsilon(x)=x^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon(\partial)=-x^2\partial$. On the other hand $\Bbbk[t]*\mathbb{Z}$ has an involution: $\sigma\mapsto\sigma$, $t\mapsto 2-t$. Then $\tilde{A}_1$ and $\Bbbk[t]*\mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic as involutive algebras and the isomorphism is given by: $x\mapsto\sigma$, $\partial\mapsto t\sigma^{-1}+1-\sigma^{-2}$. Similarly we have an isomorphism of involutive algebras $\tilde{A}_n\simeq\Bbbk[t_1,\ldots,t_n,\sigma_1^{\pm1},\ldots,\sigma_n^{\pm1}]$ and $\Bbbk[t_1,\ldots,t_n]*\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let W_n be the Weyl group of the orthogonal Lie algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$. If $n=2p+1$ then the group $W_{2p+1}=S_p\ltimes\mathbb{Z}_2^p$ acts on $\tilde{A}_p$ where S_p acts by the permutations of the components and the normal subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2^p$ is generated by the involutions described above. Consider a homomorphism $\tau:\mathbb{Z}_2^p\to\mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $(g_1,\ldots,g_p)\mapsto g_1+\ldots+g_p$ and and let $N=\operatorname{Ker}\tau\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2^{p-1}$. If $n=2p$

then $W_{2p} \simeq S_p \ltimes N$ with a natural action on $\tilde{A}_p$. These actions induce an action of W_n on $\Bbbk(t_1, \dots, t_n) * \mathbb{Z}^n$ for any n. Let $\Gamma = \Bbbk[t_1, \dots, t_n]^{W_n}$. Then we immediately have

Proposition 7.4. *Algebra* $\tilde{A}_n^{W_n}$ *of* orthogonal differential operators on a torus *is a Galois ring over* Γ *in* $(\Bbbk(t_1, \dots, t_n) * \mathbb{Z}^n)^{W_n}$*, where* $\mathbb{Z}^n$ *acts on the field of rational functions by corresponding shifts.*

7.4. **Galois orders of finite rank.** The following example provides a link between the theory of Galois orders and the theory of orders in the classical sense.

Let Λ be a commutative domain integrally closed in its fraction field L, $\mathcal{G} \subset \operatorname{Aut} L$ a finite subgroup, which splits into a semi-direct product of its subgroups $\mathcal{G} = G \ltimes \mathcal{M}$. Denote $\Gamma = \Lambda^G$ and $K = L^G$. Then Λ is just the integral closure of Γ in L and the action of G on $L * \mathcal{M}$ is defined. A Galois order $U \subset \mathcal{K}$ over Γ will be called a *Galois order of finite rank*.

Proposition 7.5. *Let* $U \subset \mathcal{K}$ *be a Galois algebra of finite rank over* Γ *and* $E = L^{\mathcal{G}}$*. Then* $\mathcal{K}$ *is a simple central algebra over* E *and* $\dim_E \mathcal{K} = |\mathcal{M}|^2$.

Proof. Theorem 4.1, (4) gives the statement about the center, while Corollary 4.2 gives the statement about the simplicity. From (2.6), (2.9) and subsection 2.3 we obtain

$$\dim_K \mathcal{K} = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}/G} \dim_K (K * \mathcal{M})_{\varphi}^{G} = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}/G} |\mathcal{O}_{\varphi}| = |\mathcal{M}| \tag{7.29}$$

both as a left and as a right K-space structure. On other hand, $\dim_E K = |\mathcal{M}|$, that completes the proof. ☐

8. Acknowledgment

The first author is supported in part by the CNPq grant (processo 301743/2007-0) and by the Fapesp grant (processo 2005/60337-2). The second author is grateful to Fapesp for the financial support (processos 2004/02850-2 and 2006/60763-4) and to the University of São Paulo for the hospitality during his visits.

References

[Ba] Bavula V., *Generalized Weyl algebras and their representations,* Alg. and Anal. **4** (1992), 75–97. English translation: St. Petersburg Math. J. **4** (1993), 71–92.

[BavO] Bavula V., Oystaeyen F., *Simple Modules of the Witten-Woronowicz algebra,* J. of Alg. **271** (2004), 827–845.

[Bl] Block R., *The irreducible representations of the Lie algebra sl(2) and of the Weyl algebra,* Adv.in Math. **39** (1981), 69–110.

[BL] Britten D. J., Lemire F. W., *Irreducible Representations of An with a 1-dimensional weight space,* Trans. AMS **273** (1983), pp. 509-540.

[BK] Brundan J., Kleshchev A., *Representations of shifted Yangians and finite W-algebras,* Memoirs of AMS, to appear; `arXiv:math/0508003`.

[DFO1] Drozd Yu.A., Ovsienko S.A., Futorny V.M., *On Gelfand–Zetlin modules,* Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **26** (1991), 143-147.

[DFO2] Drozd Yu., Ovsienko S., Futorny V., *Harish - Chandra subalgebras and Gelfand Zetlin modules,* in: "Finite dimensional algebras and related topics", NATO ASI Ser. C., Math. and Phys. Sci., **424**, (1994), 79-93.

[DK] Drozd Yu. A., Kirichenko V.V., *Finite Dimensional Algebras,* with Appendix by Vlastimil Dlab. Berlin Springer Verlag, 1994. - 249p.

[Ex] Exel R., *Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 3481-3494.

[FMO] Futorny V., Molev A., Ovsienko S., *Harish-Chandra modules for Yangians*, Repr. Theory, AMS, **9** (2005), 426-454.

[FMO1] Futorny V., Molev A., Ovsienko S., *Gelfand-Kirillov Conjecture and Harish-Chandra modules for finite W-algebras*, arXiv:0801.0903v2.

[FO] Futorny V., Ovsienko S., *Galois algebras I: Structure theory*, RT-MAT 2006-13, 52p.

[FO1] Futorny V., Ovsienko S., *Kostant's theorem for special filtered algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **37** (2005), 1-13.

[GTs] Gelfand I.M., Tsetlin M.S., *Finite dimensional representations of the group of unimodular matrices*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR **71** (1950), 1017-1020.

[HGK] Hazewinkel M., Gubareni N., Kirichenko V.V., *Algebras, Rings and Modules I,* Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer A.P., Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2004.

[Kh] Khomenko A., *Some applications of Gelfand-Zetlin modules*, Representations of algebras and related topics, 205–213, Fields Inst. Commun. **45**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[KW] Kostant B., Wallach N., *Gelfand-Zeitlin theory from the perspective of classical mechanics I.* In Studies in Lie Theory Dedicated to A. Joseph on his Sixtieth Birthday, Progress in Mathematics Vol. **243**, (2006), 319-364.

[Kr] Krieg A., *Hecke algebras*, Memoirs of the AMS **87**, no.435 (1990).

[Mat] Matsumura H., *Commutative ring theory,* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **8**, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[MCR] McConnell J. C., Robson J.C., *Noncommutative noetherian rings,* Chichester, Wiley, 1987.

[OV] Okunkov A., Vershik A., *A new approach to representation theory of symmetric groups*, Selecta Math. New Series **2** (1996), 581-605.

[O] Ovsienko S., *Finiteness statements for Gelfand–Tsetlin modules*, In: Algebraic structures and their applications, Math. Inst., Kiev, 2002.

[O1] Ovsienko S., Strongly nilpotent matrices and Gelfand-Tsetlin modules, *Linear Algebra and Its Appl.* **365** (2003), 349-367.

[Zh] Zhelobenko D.P., *Compact Lie groups and their representations*, Nauka, Moscow, 1970 (Translations of mathematical monographs **40**, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1973).

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 66281, São Paulo, CEP 05315-970, Brasil

E-mail address: `futorny@ime.usp.br`

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Kiev Taras Shevchenko University, Vladimirskaya 64, 01601, Kiev, Ukraine

E-mail address: `ovsyenko@mechmat.univ.kiev.ua`